APPENDIX 1 - Summary of strengths and weaknesses of the various delivery model options considered by each LA and agreed by Cabinets / Committees summer 2016 (option 2 agreed)

Option	Strengths	Weaknesses
Option1 - Hosted by a single LA on behalf of a number of Las (Single LA)	Easier to implement as utilising existing infrastructure Reduced impact on staff change Lower service risk during transition	 Weaker engagement of VAAs Limited potential for culture change More likely to adopt processes of host rather than best practice of all partners and beyond One LA takes majority of risk and workload to transition One LA takes on staff Requires a willing lead LA Requires other LAs to accept lead LA
Option 2 - Joint Venture between LAs – a new public sector owned entity E.G. Local Authority Company Limited by Guarantee AGREED	 Re-designed processes and structures to improve quality and achieve outcomes Opportunity for new identity and innovation Leaner / more efficient operational delivery Favourable procurement / tax position Scope to include VAAs 	 More complex transition than option however could utilise LAs' infrastructure Subject to greater financial control than option 3 (public sector finance regulations) VAA involvement limited (but VAA desired outcomes achievable)
Option 3 - Creation of a new VAA – possibly a joint venture (e.g. Flexibility for public & third sector ownership)	 Potentially stronger platform for innovation working integrally with VAA VAA involvement and risk sharing Financial freedoms 	 Procurement and tax implications – increased cost Complex / higher risk transition Reduced protection for staff as external Requires VAAs to invest in Joint Venture VAA to accept staff/pension liabilities