

Bristol City Council

Minutes of the Development Control B Committee

19 August 2020 at 2.00 pm



Members Present:-

Councillors: Tom Brook (Chair), Richard Eddy (Vice-Chair), Lesley Alexander, Clive Stevens, Mike Davies, Chris Jackson, Olly Mead, Jo Sergeant, Sultan Khan and Martin Fodor

Officers in Attendance:-

Gary Collins

8 Welcome, Introduction and Safety Information

6

The Chair welcomed all parties to the meeting.

8 Apologies for Absence

7

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Nicola Bowden-Jones, substitute Jo Sergeant; and Fi Hance, substitute Martin Fodor.

8 Declarations of Interest

8

The following Declarations of Interest were received and noted:

Councillor Brook – Called In Item No. 20/02274/F Unit 7 Merton Road and would not be participating in the item.

Councillor Stevens – Visited the site of Item No. 20/01491/F Old Shoe Factory this morning with other Members of the Committee and officers.

8 Minutes of the previous meeting

9



Resolved – that the minutes of the above meeting be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

9 Appeals

0

The Head of Development Management introduced the report and summarised it for everyone. He drew attention to Items Nos 5 to 11 (all Hamilton House) and advised that they are still awaiting a decision on the date of the Hearing.

In response to a question concerning Item No. 16 (Casa Mia, Bramble Lane, Stoke Bishop), he confirmed that this relates to a delegated decision not a Committee decision and that the Inspectorate's assessment of the appeal will not be affected by the fact that this is an appeal against non-determination as opposed to an appeal where the Council had made a decision.

9 Enforcement

1

The Head of Development Management introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

9 Public Forum

2

Members of the Committee received Public Forum Statements in advance of the meeting.

The Statements were heard before the application they related to and were taken fully into consideration by the Committee prior to reaching a decision.

9 Planning and Development

3

The Committee considered the following Planning Applications:

9 Application Number 20/01491/F - Old Shoe Factory, Cobbler lane

4

Councillor Jackson joined the Meeting during this item and did not participate in it.

The Head of Development Management and his representative gave a presentation and summarised the report for this item highlighting that this is an application for the conversion of commercial



building into single dwelling house, with upper floor extension.

Answers for clarification:

- Although there has been a recent Committee decision in relation to nearby premises in Whiteladies Road, each application has to be judged on its own merits; this is a very overlooked site and the bedroom would have a poor outlook
- The reasons for the previous refusal are contained in the report; this is the third application based on the current design and the applicant has provided additional information, however the key elements that have led to a recommendation of refusal are still present – a poor outlook in a constrained backland location
- The property at 118 Whiteladies Road is a first floor flat in residential use which has several windows at that level; one of the windows has a reasonable view
- This application meets the BRE Regulation of 25 degree rule and allows enough light but still has a poor outlook
- It is a very built up site with large walls in close proximity
- It would not be a sustainable development
- There are elements of the application that are acceptable on balance, but it has no decent level of outlook and no garden
- The narrow access lane to the property is a low quality means of access due to the proximity of other buildings and the site's situation behind existing development
- The quality of the living accommodation is a balanced decision and this application is not considered to be acceptable
- The scheme only just meets space standards and is not considered to be acceptable when assessed cumulatively and in conjunction with the other planning concerns that have been upheld. The internal layout has required a mezzanine floor to be included and this adds to the cramped layout

Debate:

- Members did not agree with the Officer Recommendation
- The scheme was considered to be acceptable for a single person who wants to live in the area
- Although it is not a highly desirable property the quality is considered to be acceptable and it is an infill site
- It adds to the housing stock and will be attractive to some people
- The building may not be developed if it is not used for this scheme
- Members could understand the Officer Recommendation but did not agree with it

Councillor Brook moved the Officer Recommendation for refusal. However this Motion was not seconded so it fell and no vote was taken.



Councillor Davies moved that the application be granted with Officers delegated to include appropriate Conditions in the approval.

Councillor Eddy seconded this Motion and on being put to the Vote it was

Resolved – (voting 9 for, 0 against) that the application be granted with Officers delegated to include appropriate Conditions in the approval.

9 Application Number 20/02274/F - Unit 7, Merton Road

5

Councillor Brook did not participate in this item, so Councillor Eddy took the Chair.

The Head of Development Management and his representative gave a presentation and summarised the report for this item highlighting that this is an application for the removal of temporary store and construction of 3 No. single storey business units Use Class B1 (c) - Light industrial.

Answers for clarification:

- The issue of air pollution can be taken into account
- Residential amenity has been addressed through Conditions that would be attached to any permission granted
- There are Conditions relating to noise on site as well as noise generated by plant; working hours would also be conditioned
- There can only be Conditions imposed relating to this site; enforcement can only relate to the site covered by this application
- A Condition relating to the prohibition of burning on site can be added
- The new Local Plan would have limited weight at this point in time
- Light industrial uses are allowed to take place in residential areas
- Although the number of jobs that would be created once this development is in operation cannot be confirmed, the applicant has stated in the submitted application form that there will be 6 new employees
- A condition could be added explicitly stating that fires shall not be lit within the application site
- An Advice Note suggesting a meeting between the local residents and users of the industrial units can be added

Debate:

- The reasons for the application being Called In were understandable



- A Condition relating to the prohibition of the lighting of fires on the application site should be added
- The application is an improvement on what is on the site at present
- The development would create jobs
- Most of the important questions relating to the application have been answered

Councillor Sergeant moved that the application be granted subject to the addition of a Condition relating to the prohibition of the lighting of fires on the application site.

Councillor Khan seconded this Motion and on being put to the Vote it was

Resolved – (voting 9 for, 0 against) that the application be granted subject to the addition of a Condition relating to the prohibition of the lighting of fires on the application site.

9 Date of Next Meeting

6

It was noted that the next meeting would be held as a remote zoom meeting at 2.00 pm on Wednesday 16th September 2020.

9 Amendments Sheet

7

Noted.

Meeting ended at 4.10 pm

CHAIR _____

