

Scheme name Bedminster Bridge West and East link

Local Authority Bristol, City of

If scheme is across multiple local authorities, please report local authority containing the largest share of infrastructure

Total scheme cost (£, 2022 prices) 4,123,706

Number of trips per weekday without the proposed intervention

These should be consistent with estimates being input into the 'User Interface Intervention' sheet of AMAT in cells F33 and F46 - see value for money guidance for how these can be estimated.

Mode	Trips per weekday
Walking	5,536
Cycling	1,581

Scheme cost by infrastructure category(s)

If you're funding 'flagship links' or 'low traffic neighbourhoods' schemes to enable both cycling and walking, report the costs twice under spending on cycling and walking. In which case total spending by infrastructure type won't equal total scheme cost.

Infrastructure type	Mode benefiting from intervention	Scheme cost (£, 2022 prices)
Area-wide cycling network	Cycling	
Flagship cycling links	Cycling	4,123,706
Cycle parking at stations	Cycling	
On-street cycle hire (of conventional bikes)	Cycling	
Secure cycle parking (with additional facilities)	Cycling	
Low Traffic Neighbourhood	Cycling	
Town centre walking infrastructure interventions	Walking	
Flagship walking links	Walking	1,237,112
Neighbourhood traffic calming interventions	Walking	
Low Traffic Neighbourhood	Walking	
Total		5,360,818
Does total cost input to this sheet sum to total scheme cost?		FALSE

% difference between scheme and benchmark costs

Benchmark costs of schemes in 2022 prices can be found in benchmark tabs

Description of benchmarked projects available in appendix 6 of the CWIS model technical report (columns B and J)

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/cycling-and-walking-investment-strategy-active-travel-investment-models>

For example if the scheme cost is around 10% more expensive than you'd expect for similar benchmark schemes, report "10%"

Please note that LTN cost benchmark evidence is not available. Users should enter % difference equivalent to the difference seen for other benchmarked projects in their portfolio.

% difference between scheme and benchmark costs 29%

