File Name Sheet Name Description and Purpose of Sheet Version number		ATF4 Uplifts Tool and Cost Benchmarks - Bedminste(together) v.1.0.xlsx 1 - user inputs Users to complete all cells highlighted yellow 3				
Scheme name	Bedminster Bridge West and East link					
Local Authority If scheme is across multiple local authorities, please report	Bristol, City of local authority containing the largest share of infrastructure	l				

Number of trips per weekday without the proposed intervention
These should be consistent with estimates being input into the 'User Interface Intervention' sheet of AMAT in cells F33 and F46 - see value for money guidance for how these can be estimated.

Mode	Trips per weekday
Walking	5,536
Cycling	1,581

Total scheme cost (£, 2022 prices)

Scheme cost by infrastructure category(s)

If you're funding 'flagship links' or 'low traffic neighbourhoods' schemes to enable both cycling and walking, report the costs twice under spending on cycling and walking. In which case total spending by infrastructure type won't e

Infrastructure type	Mode benefiting from intervention	Scheme cost (£, 2022 prices)
Area-wide cycling network	Cycling	
Flagship cycling links	Cycling	4,123,706
Cycle parking at stations	Cycling	
On-street cycle hire (of conventional bikes)	Cycling	
Secure cycle parking (with additional facilities)	Cycling	
Low Traffic Neighbourhood	Cycling	
Town centre walking infrastructure interventions	Walking	
Flagship walking links	Walking	1,237,112
Neighbourhood traffic calming interventions	Walking	
Low Traffic Neighbourhood	Walking	
	Total	5,360,818
	Descripted and input to this about our to total ashama and?	FALSE

% difference between scheme and benchmark costs

Benchmark costs of schemes in 2022 prices can be found in benchmark tabs

Description of benchmarked projects available in appendix 6 of the CWIS model technical report (columns B and J)

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/cycling-and-walking-investment-strategy-active-travel-investment-models

For example if the scheme cost is around 10% more expensive than you'd expect for similar benchmark schemes, report *10%*

Please note that LTN cost benchmark evidence is not available. Users should enter % difference equivalent to the difference seen for other benchmarked projects in their portfolio.

% difference between scheme and benchmark costs

management Research of These								
and the second s								
stimated cycling and walking fring with t	ha ankama							
			_					_
	Redminster Bridge West and East							
Schame rame	ink	-						
	•							
Number of trips per weekday without the prop			_					
	Parline	Welliam						
Trips per waskfav	10	64 641	w.					
Number of trice per weekday with the propose	d intervention							
Estimated trips per weekday below should be inpu	l into rows 33 and 46 of the "User Interfac	TABA is been 'nobreveste e						
Central estimates to input into AMPT have been hi	programs green, based on the intrinsic cyc	ang and watering potential and car owner	istip in the authority. Croates represent an under- or over-estimate - see value for money o					
intrinsic curling leaking potential category	Curling	Walting	to the sepresent an under- or over-estimate - see value for money g	tance				
	Facilities 4.0°		-					
1555a	17	SE 544	ic.					
Mich.	10	12 54	161					

applied for higher