

Bristol City Council
Neighbourhoods Scrutiny Commission
Action Sheet



Meeting Date	Agenda Item	Title of Report/ Description	Action and Deadline	Responsible officer	Action taken
27.10.16	4 (c)	Minutes– 3 rd October 2016	<p>The Chair referred to Paragraph Number (24) (Minute Number 6) and indicated that officers could consider whether action could be taken in this instance:</p> <p>(24) It was noted that smoking was an area which was the highest preventable risk factor and yet the return for the reduction was low. There need to be an effective cost/benefit analysis in this area. Officers referred to the Sustainable Transport Plan in which consideration of many of the healthy benefits (ie smoking, alcohol, diabetes, prevention, healthy living) were embedded). However, no mechanism was yet in place to track funds – one possible approach to address this could be through the use of Joint Funding arrangements or to develop a Strategic case for pooled budgets;</p>	Becky Pollard	<p>In discussion of the Director of Public Health Annual report, the Neighbourhoods Scrutiny Commission raised that the ROI quoted for support to stop smoking was relatively low, compared with other lifestyle interventions eg. for alcohol harm. Although the ROI for smoking interventions was not as high as for example alcohol, smoking is the biggest contributor to premature mortality from the 4 main diseases causing early death in Bristol. Smoking is also the biggest contributor to the health inequalities related to unhealthy lifestyles in Bristol. Smoking is increasingly</p>

					<p>concentrated in areas of higher deprivation, with smoking rates varying up to 5 fold across different wards of the city. Cancer is the largest contributor to the gap in life expectancy between the most and least deprived in Bristol. Return on investment estimates will not take account of the potential substantial impact on health inequalities from reducing tobacco related harm. Links between alcohol and deprivation are less clear cut than for smoking.</p> <p>The ROI figures used in the DPH report are inevitably based on a number of assumptions, but are the best tools available at the current time. The tobacco estimates have been calculated using the NICE tobacco costing tool. It generates costs and benefits in relation to reduction of 5 conditions, including lung cancer and heart disease. There are other disease outcomes that would be impacted by reduced smoking but are not captured in these ROI</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>estimates. Alcohol related calculations include assumptions about benefits from reduced accidents eg road traffic accidents, with associated large cost savings to society being modelled. Assumptions in economic modelling are also sensitive to the timescales considered – benefits from reduced smoking are likely to be over longer timescales.</p> <p>Despite ROI estimates being relatively low for smoking cessation, they do show a positive return on the investment within a 5 year timescale, and tackling tobacco related harm through supporting effective smoking cessation will address a major contributor to the inequalities in health seen across the city.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

26.01.17	4 (a)	Minutes – 24 th November 2016	Resolved – that the minutes of the above meeting be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.	Jeremy Livitt	Done – 26/01/17
----------	-------	---	---	---------------	-----------------

26.01.17	7	Neighbourhood Partnerships – The Future	<p>Action:</p> <p>(1) that officers send to Councillors information on work carried out in core cities and in the south west in other local authorities relating to devolved funding arrangements;</p> <p>(2) that a more detailed breakdown of information be provided to Councillors concerning the pie chart contained in the presentation;</p> <p>(3) that it is noted that a further update report will be provided at the next meeting on 24th February 2017 concerning this issue and which will provide a social, environmental and financial assessment of what has been achieved through the existing NP structures and how much will the proposed cut cost.</p>	Gemma Dandp/Penny Germon	
----------	---	---	--	--------------------------	--

26.01.17	8	Housing Revenue Account Business Plan	Resolved – that officers provide members with information on the meaning of the acronyms contained in the report.	Nicky Debbage	Information Sent on 9 th February 2017.
----------	---	---------------------------------------	---	---------------	--

26.01.17	10	Supermarkets Dealing with Waste – Update	<p>Resolved:</p> <p>(1) That the Mayor be requested to take action to open up a conversation with the 8 leading supermarkets in respect of food waste, packaging and distribution</p> <p>(2) That the matter is progressed through the Waste Action Group.</p>	<p>(1) Romaine De Fonseca</p> <p>(2) Alison Comley</p>	<p>Completed. Referral sent to Mayor and Cabinet Member 8/2/17</p>
----------	----	--	--	--	--