

Corporate Risk Register as at December 2018 - External and Civil Contingency Risks									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR1: Brexit</p> <p>The risk that Brexit (and any resulting 'deal' or 'no deal') will impact the local economy, local funding and delivery of council services, and that uncertainty around Brexit could impact our ability to accurately assess or plan for potential positive or negative outcomes.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exiting the European Union. • Lack of majority view on draft agreement with EU. • Unprecedented and complex national / international process. • Lack of planning by the authority. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: External Risk BCCC2)</p>	<p>Announced funding of Settlement Visas for EU employees.</p> <p>Participating in MHCLG events and national working group of local authority representatives.</p> <p>Undertaken a draft internal assessment of threat and opportunities following an externally-provided workshop.</p>		4	5	20	<p>We are monitoring the issue on an ongoing basis.</p> <p>We will complete and disseminate BCC threat and opportunity assessment, including links to our Business Plan 2019/20. This will include clear actions to be taken.</p> <p>Have further meetings of Bristol Brexit Response Group.</p> <p>Maintain the continued internal Brexit working group to ensure preparedness.</p> <p>Continued monitoring of external environment and government relations.</p> <p>Attend MHCLG regional preparedness workshop for Chief Officers.</p>	3	5	15
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Head of Policy and Public Affairs.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019– Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR2: Cyber-Security</p> <p>The Council's risk level in regards to Cyber-security is higher than should be expected.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of investment in appropriate technologies. Reliance on in-house expertise, and self-assessments (PSN). Lack of formal approach to risk management (ISO27001). Historic lack of focus. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR7)</p>	<p>The Council has secured a new Public Services Network (PSN) Certificate (issued 9th November2018).</p> <p>A PSN Remedial Action Plan is in place.</p> <p>Budget provision for Cyber Security was allocated within the Future State Assessment Plan (FSA) as approved by Cabinet June 2018.</p> <p>Independent full security assessments have been carried out November 2018.</p> <p>Increased training - Phishing attacks November 2018.</p>		3	7	21	<p>The Council has secured a new PSN Certificate as the assessor was confident that the Council had sufficiently completed much of the PSN Action Plan. However, there is still remaining a number of key activities which are being prioritised alongside other priority activity due to the current capacity of key skills/resources. The resourcing issue is being addressed within the current capability and capacity assessments which are a prerequisite to the ICT Restructure being delivered within the FSA Transformation Programme. Q4 2018, Q1 2019.</p> <p>Procurement for an independent assessment of the Cyber-Security risks, which is wider in breadth and deeper in discovery than the test currently undertaken for PSN compliance is underway; there have been delays in the procurement process which mean that the original Nov18 target has been missed. This will review all aspects of IT Security from both outside and within the network. This assessment will form the basis of the mitigation activity which will be undertaken within the FSA Programme. The audit and mitigation plans should be available during February 2019, ready for review by the Council's SIRO and the FSA Transformation Programme Delivery Board.</p> <p>ICT are working closely with the Council's new SIRO to improve the approach to all aspects of Information Assurance (including adoption of ISO27001). In addition to this, ICT are working with the Resources Executive Director and colleagues to review and enhance the current policies and strategies pertaining to Information Management. This is a new initiative without a formal plan as yet.</p> <p>The FSA Programme currently has plans to implement technology platforms to move the Council from file storage to document storage platforms, increase team collaboration without use of email, implement file retention policies, introduce document marking and rights management, implement data classification and improve federated search across structured and unstructured data stores. The FSA Programme will align with the new Information Assurance approach and the strategy set by the Council's SIRO, as direction becomes clear. In the meantime, ICT has given assurances that the FSA Programme deliverables will not impact or inhibit future strategy due to the flexibility and capability within anticipated technical solutions. This will be delivered in line with the 2-3 year FSA Programme.</p> <p>As well as technical controls, the ICT team is currently undertaking a Phishing attach exercise where we are sending emails to staff to see how users react to this type of Cyber Attack. Anyone clicking on links is directed towards targeted training. We will use the outcome of this exercise to inform on how we improve non-technical controls and training in future. ICT will continue to work with the SIRO to develop appropriate targeted training. Q4 2018.</p>	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).	Action Owner: Director, Digital Transformation.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR3: ICT Resilience</p> <p>The Councils ability to deliver critical and key services in the event of ICT outages, and be able to recover in the event of system and/or data loss.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor BCP planning and understanding of key system architecture. Untested DR arrangements including data recovery. Untested network reconfiguration to alleviate key location outage. Untested recovery schedules in terms of order and instructions Lack of resilience available for legacy systems (single points of failure – people and technology). Services undertaking their own IT arrangements outside of the corporate approach. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR26)</p>	<p>Resilience has been implemented within the Corporate Network to ensure that the network remains active and available in the event of a building becoming unavailable or a circuit being interrupted. Work to date.</p> <p>Backups are held within, and external, to the corporate network to ensure availability. Work to date.</p> <p>The FSA Programme has the movement to more resilient hosting as part of a core deliverable. Utilising cloud hosting improves resilience and recovery and enables access to key systems from outside of the corporate network, and if necessary, from non-corporate devices. As approved by Cabinet June 2018.</p> <p>The FSA Programme includes the review of future DR arrangements with the move to cloud for most services, and a move to crown hosting for remaining, servers. As approved by Cabinet June 2018.</p> <p>The FSA Programme includes work to aid with the survivability and recovery of Cyber Security Incidents which will aid the resilience of key Council systems. As approved by Cabinet June 2018.</p>	New	3	7	21	<p>A test of current Disaster Recovery arrangements is due within Q4 2018/19.</p> <p>Ensure that Line of Business (LOB) systems that pose a Resilience/Recovery risk are identified and service areas understand the risks to their services within their BCP planning. On-going.</p> <p>Delivery of the FSA Programme remains the key ICT activity. This will be delivered in line with the 2-3 year FSA Programme.</p>	2	5	10
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and Service Area Leads.	Action Owner: Director, Digital Transformation.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>CRR4: Long term commercial investments and major projects capital investment.</p> <p>BCC'S long-term commercial investments and major projects may require greater than anticipated capital investment.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cost is higher than expected. • The project is delivered later than planned. • The operating and maintenance cost of the asset exceeds expectations. • The demand for the asset is less than budgeted driving down income. • Strategic, geographic, social, financial and economic conditions changing over time. • Oversight of Project Interdependencies not well managed. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR1)</p>	<p>We have reviewed Capital Governance arrangements and have established the Growth and Regeneration Board in order to improve capital programme governance and accountability arrangements. The Board is overseen by the Delivery Executive to make improvements to capital project business cases, taking account of whole life costing principles and improving capital monitoring arrangements.</p> <p>We are understanding, monitoring and reporting the cost-determining factors, and seeking relevant professional advice to ensure Value for Money (VfM) by undertaking due diligence which covers the economic, financial, social and environmental case. This is ongoing.</p> <p>Governance arrangements are in place for the council as a Company Shareholder.</p> <p>The Growth and Regeneration Directorate is responsible for delivery of major infrastructure projects. Some of the key projects include:</p> <p><u>Harbour Strategy</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are looking to secure capital funding to commence in 2018/19 through a robust capital business case to make commercial improvements across areas such as new pontoons, and boaters facilities,' both of which will generate income and make the area more attractive economically. • We have completed a commercial benchmarking exercise in terms of charges and commercial offering using similar marina sites across the UK. • We are constructing a plan around our commercial offering, fees & charges, leases etc. to ensure we are maximising income that can be used to invest in the area. • Carrying out condition surveys to assist with the development of a robust maintenance schedule. <p><u>Arena</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We commissioned consultants to carry out Value for Money (VfM) studies for the Arena at Temple Meads, alternative use of the site plus an alternative scheme at Filton. • Cabinet on the 4th September agreed to look to an alternative use for the Temple Quarter site. <p><u>Temple Quarter</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For contracts we ensure that robust contingencies are built into the project costs, and secure consultant's advice relating to appropriate risk allocation and reward, and other contractual arrangements. <p><u>Colston Hall</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consultants were engaged last year to undertake an options appraisal to verify the project in its current format i.e. the scope of the works and ensuring that the correct option has been chosen to make the hall financially sustainable. Cabinet has approved the underwriting of the project to a maximum of £48.8m. The project is progressed through the Southern Construction Framework (administered by Devon County Council). <p><u>Energy</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the last 2 years Bristol Energy has grown significantly however the energy market is extremely complex with strong competition from new and existing energy retailers with high volatility in wholesale prices and the industry is currently subject to price scrutiny from Industry regulators. 	↔	3	7	21	<p>The Capital Strategy will be developed by February 2019.</p> <p>Improvements to capital programme governance and accountability arrangements through Housing, Property and Growth & Regeneration Board, with tracking and delivery are overseen by Delivery Executive (Ongoing).</p> <p>The Executive Director for Growth and Regeneration has instigated a series of ongoing 'Deep Dives' with a focus on key programmes and project deliverables feedback being reviewed by the Growth and Regeneration Board and further detailed review of the capital programme to be undertaken as part of the budget process (Ongoing).</p> <p>The Growth and Regeneration Board meets monthly to continue to improve project, programme and portfolio risk management to ensure robust arrangements are in place and challenge against deliverables. We will maintain a balanced portfolio of investment assets so that exposure to particular classes of risk can be minimised (Ongoing.)</p> <p>We continue to strengthen client-side arrangements for companies around the:</p> <p><u>Harbour Strategy</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are working with colleagues across the Growth & Regeneration and Communities Directorates to ensure we have a joined up approach to delivering a new Harbour Strategy. This falls in to three main work streams Assets, Design and Harbour/Marina activity. Whilst these 3 pieces of work are in differing project stages, an umbrella group to act as a steering and governance forum is being established by September 2018. • As part of a robust asset management planning framework we are carrying out condition surveys on the dock's walls to produce a future maintenance schedule as part of the BCC Asset Management Plan by end spring 2019. <p><u>Temple Quarter</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cabinet on the 4th September agreed not to pursue the development of the Bristol Arena on the former diesel depot site at Temple Meads. <p><u>Colston Hall</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We have engaged a consultant under a Pre-Construction Services Agreement (PCSA). We have set up a 10 point plan to address any issues by September 2018 to enable us to meet budget constraints. To assist in the process we have engaged the help of the Southern Construction Framework (SCF) administrator. <p><u>Energy</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Like all business in this field we continue to work with the company consultants to explore opportunities to ensure we have the right operating model to deliver our objectives. Ongoing • We are currently exploring opportunities for smart energy initiatives which include City Leap. 	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Executive Director Resources and S151 Officer.	Action Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Finance.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.						

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR5: Failure to Manage Asbestos.</p> <p>Failure to manage the asbestos management plan for properties.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff availability to carry out work plans in a safe way. • Lack of appropriate training. • Lack of oversight and control by local management. • Lack of information on the potential or known risks. • Inadequate contract management arrangements. • Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being applied. • Policies are not kept up to date. • Budget pressures. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR3)</p>	<p>We have an ongoing plan for properties to be surveyed prior to any work being undertaken by Asbestos Consultants plus an ongoing programme of surveys is being carried out.</p> <p>Funding for Contractor training was agreed in February 2018.</p> <p>There is a process for reporting Asbestos exposure incidents to the HSE via F2508 form. Asbestos incidents are reported via the Corporate health and safety accident/incident process. Asbestos incidents are investigated in-house and appropriate actions taken. Significant asbestos issues are reported to the appropriate Health & Safety Committees, senior management and executive. Ongoing.</p> <p>Corporate Asbestos arrangements have been reviewed and published on the Source, 12th June 2018.</p> <p>A corporate review of Asbestos arrangements is being carried out by end Q2 2018/19.</p> <p>Property Services have reviewed their asbestos arrangements.</p> <p>We are holding regular 'Asbestos working group' meetings to progress the management of Asbestos across the authority. Ongoing.</p>	<p>↔</p>	3	7	21	<p>All managers will be informed of reviewed Asbestos arrangements by use of CHaSMS. This was originally planned for September 2018.</p> <p>Housing are reviewing and updating the service area Asbestos arrangements, as part of a wider action plan to improve the management of Asbestos, following a Corporate Safety review of the Service.</p> <p>Contractor training to inform of BCC's expectations of standards, whilst on our sites, arrangements are being carried out by end March 2019.</p>	1	7	7
<p>Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and Corporate Leadership Board (CLB).</p>	<p>Action Owner: Director of Commercialisation (for Corporate Estate) and Director of Housing and Landlord Services (for Social Housing).</p>	<p>Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.</p>	<p>Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.</p>						

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			Tolerance Risk Level			
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	
<p>BRR6: Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing.</p> <p>If the City Council does not meet its wide range of Health & Safety requirements then there could be a risk to the safety of citizens.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If services do not have sufficient staff numbers to carry out work plans in a safe way. • If services are not able to order appropriate equipment required for staff safety. • Lack of appropriate equipment. • Lack of appropriate training. • Lack of oversight and control by local management. • Lack of information on the potential or known risks. • Inadequate contract management arrangements. • Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being applied. • Policies are not kept up to date. • Budget pressures. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR4)</p>	<p>The Corporate Health & Wellbeing (HS&W) team is in place to support the council and provide advice and guidance. The Corporate Policy Statement, service specific policies, procedures and systems of work and safety arrangements are in place and routinely reviewed.</p> <p>BCC has a Corporate Health and Safety Management System (CHaSMS) to identify and monitor hazards, risks and appropriate actions. Each manager (with staff and /or premises responsibilities) have an action plan which is completed by all Managers on a quarterly basis. Once completed the (HS&W) team check the returns and give relevant feedback to the individual Managers and report the overall results to Senior Management.</p> <p>The accident/incident reporting procedure is in place to monitor injury to colleagues and is communicated. All incidents are subject to the investigation procedure to reduce the potential for any recurrences.</p> <p>Corporate procedures and a risk assessment pro-forma exist for core safety functions including arrangements for fire risk assessment of all workplaces. A register is in place for potential asbestos exposures. We have reviewed and further invested in statutory health surveillance equipment and training and a programme of work in place within council housing post Grenfell.</p> <p>BCC has a programme of e-learning and personal face to face course delivery available to all staff and members. Ongoing specific training on H&S and excessive pressure/ personal resilience is also available. Stress management training and stress risk assessment training is available for managers and employees.</p> <p>An independent occupational health support (NHS Avon Partnership Occupational Health Service) is in place to provide advice, employee support, management medical opinion and advice to support managers dealing with employee ill-health and absence. A pre-employment health screening service is in place to ensure reasonable adjustments are identified to support employees and also an HGV driver medical support service. A confidential Employee Assistance Programme, Wellbeing telephone helpline operates (24hrs / 7 days a week); this programme also includes a range of Wellbeing information via a website. Partnerships with external providers of counselling and physiotherapy services are in place to provide fast-track access to these services. The council is routinely monitoring these services.</p> <p>The Intelligence network including the Corporate Safety Information System is in place to share details of the addresses to the Citizens of Bristol considered to present risks to staff.</p> <p>Corporate Health and Safety is reported to the Leadership team quarterly using the CHaSMS to help monitor compliance.</p> <p>BCC also has a system of Trade Union Consultation with Health and Safety trained Representatives.</p> <p>Benchmarking and annual reports are provided to BCC along with the annual performance report.</p> <p>All contracts set up with external providers include checking their relevant Health and Safety competency.</p> <p>The council's audit programme monitors compliance with statutory duty and best practices.</p>	↔	3	7	21		1	7	7
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and Corporate Leadership Board (CLB).	Action Owner: Director of Workforce Change.		Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BCRR7: Information Governance.</p> <p>General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) compliance.</p> <p>If the Council fails to maintain a defensible and compliant response to the Data Protection Act 2018 and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) then it will fail to fully comply with its statutory requirements.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to invest in the required systems, equipment and posts required to implement these regulations. • Failure to adequately train staff in the requirements of the regulations. • Lack of resource (capacity or expertise) to manage Subject Access Requests. • (This risk replaces CRR14 Introduction of the General Data Protection Regulation). <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR21)</p>	<p>The GDPR Project was started in November 2017 and has put in place a comprehensive council wide package of changes for the introduction of the new regulation. It included awareness training, data audits, updated contracts, retention policy and privacy notices and improved processes for responding to subject access requests and completing data protection impact assessments. Support has also been provided to elected Members, Schools and the Bristol Companies. The GDPR Project completed its objectives and deliverables and was formally closed July 2018.</p> <p>A newly formed Office of Data Protection has been established, led by a dedicated Statutory Data Protection Officer (SDPO) who was appointed August 2018 to ensure the City Council maintains and further enhances its policies and procedures and to provide ongoing advice, guidance and support to service areas. Additional Data Protection specialists have also been appointed to support the SDPO.</p> <p>A Steering Group and Working Group is in place and regular reports continue to be provided to Executive Directors Meetings (EDM's) to ensure that the high-level of engagement and buy-in across all levels of the organisation is maintained.</p>		3	5	15	<p>We have made significant progress on compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).</p> <p>The Office of Data Protection is working on a prioritised programme of work to fully transition from the GDPR Project and embed GDPR compliance into business as usual.</p> <p>We are currently considering the case for forming an Information Governance team, bringing together existing specialists into a central team which will be better positioned to provide advice guidance and support on all related aspects in a more coordinated manner.</p>	2	3	6
Risk Owner: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).	Action Owner: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) and Statutory Data Protection Officer (SDPO).	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR8: Procurement and Contract Management Compliance</p> <p>Failure to ensure that BCC achieves value for money when purchasing goods and services; complies with legislation, quality, cost and social value requirements for contract awards to ensure orders for goods / services are efficiently placed and observes agreed terms.</p> <p>BCC do not take into account long term view with regards to TCO (Total Cost of Ownership) & Life Cycle Costs high incidence of non-contracted spends.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor/weak pre procurement forward planning and tender specifications. • Over reliance and inappropriate use of waivers. • Skills, knowledge. • Ineffective Supply chain and markets. • Poor / weak contract monitoring. • Supplier failure and missed opportunities of warning signs. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR24)</p>	<p>In 2018/19 BCC has adopted a Category Management approach and revised the structure of the procurement team to reflect the themes and style of working.</p> <p>A PFI contract management specialist has been appointed to support the council and other stakeholders in the management of the contracts, undertake due diligence to ensure maximum value is delivered from the councils PFI contracts. Ongoing.</p> <p>Council procurement rules were revised and agreed by Full Council in May 2018 and included strengthening the Category and Contract Management. Category Plans have been developed with forward plans which align to service and business plans.</p> <p>More in-depth performance Data is being collated to give greater visibility of compliant and non-compliant procurement activity and delivery of objectives e.g. Social Value. (Ongoing).</p> <p>The Commissioning and Procurement Group are ensuring that the Councils procurement rules are adhered to.(Ongoing).</p> <p>Implemented document contract procedures and have created standard ITT templates for BCC.</p> <p>Category plans include pipeline activity for every contract and client engagement plans have been created to ensure early engagement with Procurement and better planning.</p> <p>A pilot tracker system has been developed with Social Care Commissioners within the business to monitor performance to capture early warning signs linked to supplier failure to enable early intervention and business resilience.</p>	New	3	5	15	<p>We are currently aligning category management forward plans with 2019/20 service resource planning across the organisation. January 2019.</p> <p>We are developing an improvement action plan which will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further development of the contract register. • Training and development plan for the procurement staff and the wider organisation. • Systems and processes to improvement and the monitoring of procurement performance. • Procurement efficiencies are being tracked in delivering agreed savings targets. <p>Tendering processes are being reviewed to eliminate non value added activity and support the appropriate rout to market.</p> <p>The early warning system pilot will be reviewed with a view to wider rollout. March 2019.</p> <p>This is not an exhaustive list and once the improvement plan has been endorsed it will inform on next steps.</p>	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Section 151 Officer, Executive Director Resources.	Action Owner: Director Finance (Section 151 Officer).	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>CRR9: Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) systems</p> <p>The Councils reliance on legacy systems.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of desire to change; systems. Significant transition activity leads to systems being expensive/complex to change Lack of understanding of consequences of not changing systems on ICT. Lack of adherence to Procurement rules in relation to re-procurements. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR25)</p>	<p>The FSA Programme plans to introduce a number of enhancements in regards to being able to better manage systems in the future. This includes the provision of some core capabilities which may be used to displace legacy systems in the future. As approved by Cabinet June 2018.</p> <p>As a result of FSA Programme activity to date, c40% of redundant servers have been removed from the Corporate IT estate. Q3 2018.</p> <p>The IT CSRM Team have developed a schedule of contract end dates, and are working with service areas to ensure that they have appropriate plans in place to engage the market and start re-procurement Q3 2018.</p> <p>Through the service planning process, service areas have identified their procurement requirements and also their strategic objectives for 2019/20 which has enabled ICT and Change Management to review the options for efficiencies and to ensure resource availability. Q3 2018.</p> <p>Working with Central Procurement colleagues to ensure that we have procurement policies and strategies in place to enable effective procurement activity to take place. Q3 2018.</p>	New	3	5	15	<p>Ensure that Line of Business (LOB) systems that pose a Cyber Security, Procurement or Resilience/Recovery risk are identified and service areas understand the risks to their services. On-going.</p> <p>Where appropriate ensure that these risks are articulated to Risk, BCP and procurement colleagues, and also to the SIRO, as appropriate. On-going.</p> <p>Delivery of the FSA Programme remains the key ICT activity. This will be delivered in line with the 2-3 year FSA Programme.</p>	2	5	10
Risk Owner: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) for Cyber Security Service Areas for BCP/DR.	Action Owner: Director, Digital Transformation.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Corporate Risk Register as at December 2018 - External and Civil Contingency Risks									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR10: Flooding.</p> <p>There could be a risk of damage to properties and infrastructure as well as risk to public safety from flooding which may be caused by a tidal surge, heavy rainfall and river and groundwater flood events.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tidal surge, heavy rainfall, river and groundwater flood events. Impact of climate change. Lack of effective flood defences and preparedness for major incidents. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: External Risk BCCC1)</p>	<p>The Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) is a partnership of all the organisations needed to prepare for an emergency in the LRF area. It includes the emergency services, health services, Maritime and Coastal Agency, Environment Agency, volunteer agencies, utility companies, transport providers and the five councils of Bath and North East Somerset, Bristol, North Somerset, Somerset and South Gloucestershire.</p> <p>Bristol is working with the Avon and Somerset LRF to construct new sea defences around North Somerset, Bristol and South Gloucestershire. Working with emergency services, local authorities and other agencies to develop flood response plans and procedures, investigating instances of flooding, training specialist staff in swift water rescue techniques, communicating with housing and business developers to incorporate flood protection into new developments. It provide guidance to members of the public about flooding, including flood warnings and what people can do to help themselves, regular maintenance and clearing programs of gullies and culverts, especially in the event of storm warnings.</p> <p>Bristol has in place a local Flood Risk Management Strategy approved at Cabinet in December 2017 which comprises of 5 keys areas and 43 separate actions in line with Environment Agency's national strategy.</p>	↔	3	5	15	<p>There is sustained resourcing and delivery of all actions in LFRMS over life of strategy. Strategy includes the following key projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working in partnership with the Environment Agency to develop a Bristol Tidal Flood Risk Management Strategy to protect the city centre, including climate change. Working in partnership with South Gloucester and the Environment Agency to deliver a flood scheme to help protect Avonmouth Village and the Enterprise Area from tidal flooding, including climate change. 	3	3	9
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Director Transport, Flood Risk Engineer, Strategic City Transport.	Portfolio Flag: Energy, Waste and Regulatory Services.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR11: Tree Management.</p> <p>Risk of trees falling as a result of failure under certain weather conditions and/or due to disease</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Severe weather conditions and/or disease. Lack of maintenance of trees. Lack of tree inspections. Reduction in budgets and fragmentation of management of trees across service areas putting pressure on the ability to adequately manage the council's trees. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR19)</p>	<p>The areas with responsibility for trees include highways, parking, housing, parks, and property.</p> <p>We have been analysing the trees that are potentially at risk and appropriate resources are being assigned to tree management.</p> <p>We have been analysing our tree claims data to identify further strategies to manage the risk.</p> <p>A budget for tree management from parks and highways has been protected for 2018-19 while this is reviewed to deal with highways and parks trees.</p> <p>There is an agreement to consolidate budgets to manage the tree portfolio and to adopt the Quantified Tree Risk Assessment (QTRA) method for the inspection and recoding of data on the Confirm system.</p>	↔	3	5	15	<p>We are reviewing the tree management plan to assure that all trees are within the inspection regime in readiness for the adoption of the QTRA methodology.</p> <p>Budgets will be consolidated to provide a central tree management programme.</p> <p>Consideration on the current service provision related to tree management will include best value analysis.</p>	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director Place, Resources.	Portfolio Flag: Communities.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR12: Partnerships Governance</p> <p>If the council does not maximise (or cannot quantify) the benefits of partnership working and/or experiences negative or counter-productive results may arise from partnership working.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Failure to establish and/or manage contracts, Service Level Agreements and/or Terms of Reference in relation to partnerships. Not maintaining a central register of partnerships, membership, governance arrangements and performance measures. No identified lead officer to progress development of partnership working as in proposals presented to the Audit Committee in April 2016. Outdated partnership policy and toolkit (last iteration 2010). A broad range of partnerships with variable degrees of formality. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR22)</p>	<p>BCC has close involvement of Elected Mayor and Members in key partnerships. Regular review and evaluation of the current position by CLB.</p> <p>Leads have been defined for recommendations to develop partnership working which were received by the Audit Committee in April 2016.</p> <p>BCC has mechanisms in place for regular dialogue including formal partnerships.</p> <p>The role of Director: Policy and Strategy has been expanded to include oversight of partnerships and a permanent appointment to this post has been made.</p>	↔	3	5	15	<p>We are reviewing and refreshing the Partnership Policy and Toolkit.</p> <p>Creating a central Partnership Register including Service Level Agreements (SLAs), Terms of Reference (Terms of reference) and contracts where appropriate.</p> <p>Creating a template Terms of reference and porting existing Terms of reference to it.</p> <p>We are scoping and reviewing the need for Commercial Training for relevant managers as part of Procurement and Commercial Strategy.</p>	2	3	6
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Head of Delivery Support Unit.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>CRR13: Fraud and Corruption.</p> <p>Failure to prevent or detect acts of significant fraud or corruption against the council from either internal or external sources.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure of management to implement a sound system of internal control and/or to demonstrate commitment to it at all times. • Not keeping up to date with developments, in new areas of fraud. • Insufficient risk assessment of new emerging fraud issues. • Lack of clear management control of responsibility, authorities and / or delegation. • Lack of resources to undertake the depth of work required to minimise the risks of fraud / avoidance. • Under investment in fraud prevention and detection technology and resource. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR6)</p>	<p>We are continually improving the comprehensive system of control which aims to prevent fraud and increase the likelihood of detection. This includes a strong and robust policy on anti-fraud, corruption and bribery.</p> <p>We take a strong stance when fraud is found and seek financial recovery through a strong and effective counter fraud team.</p> <p>The team concentrates on areas of high fraud risk, investigates fraud promptly where suspected and sanctions appropriately. By, investing in specialist fraud prevention and detection software and utilising cross organisation data will minimise the council's exposure to fraud risk and aid early detection / prevention. An accessible route to report suspected fraud is available to both the public and employees via a Whistleblowing Policy Anti-Fraud, Bribery and Corruption Policy and web page. This ensures that the council's financial systems accurately record the financial transactions and robust control processes which are in place to maximise the opportunity to prevent and detect fraud or inaccuracies.</p> <p>Employees are aware of probity standards expected of them via an Employee Code of Conduct, improving awareness of fraud and compliance through a process of reminders about ethics and conduct, fraud awareness training and other publicity, continual maintenance of Counter Fraud information on Web pages and monitoring and review of the effectiveness of the Counter Fraud Arrangements.</p> <p>Key Counter Fraud Objectives were defined for 2018/19.</p> <p>Counter Fraud Performance is monitored by Audit Committee via the Annual Counter Fraud Update, periodic Internal Audit Updates and the Annual review of arrangements against CIPFA Count Fraud Assessment Tool. Improvements are highlighted in an action plan which is monitored by Audit Committee.</p>	↔	3	5	15	<p>We are monitoring fraud indicators (warning signs and fraud alerts) to ensure anti-fraud approach is correctly targeted (Ongoing).</p> <p>Capacity and priorities within Internal Audit have been re-considered. The scale of whistleblowing/fraud referrals received is impacting on the team's ability to deliver preventative work and proactive fraud work which returns savings and other benefits to the council. The implementation of the restructure of the Counter fraud service is ongoing and in part dependent upon funding streams being confirmed / clarified. March 2019.</p> <p>Legal and financial support arrangements for Counter Fraud work are to be reviewed to ensure recoveries are maximised (Ongoing).</p> <p>The availability, costs and benefits of fraud prevention technology will be reviewed for potential future investment decision March 2019.</p> <p>Council wide fraud and avoidance initiatives are in development by improved use of data. We should start to see the delivery of the savings March 2019.</p> <p>We will review bribery and corruption fraud controls March 2019.</p>	2	5	10
Risk Owner: Executive Director Resources and Director of Finance (\$151 Officer).	Action Owner: Director of Finance and Chief Internal Auditor.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR14: Business Continuity and Councils Service Resilience.</p> <p>If the council has a Business Continuity disruption and is unable to ensure the resilience of key BCC operations and business activities, then the impact of the event may be increased with a greater impact on people and council Services.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strikes (People, Fuel). • Loss of key staff (communicable diseases and influenza epidemics). • Loss of suppliers. • Loss of accommodation to deliver key services. • Loss of equipment. • Any event which may cause major disruption. • Unavailability of IT and/or Telecoms. • Loss of staff /staff availability. • Knowledge loss. • Reduced chances of preventing/ responding to incidents due to a lack of forward planning or investment. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR5)</p>	<p>The council has a Corporate Resilience Group (CRG) supported by directorate representatives who meet quarterly to oversee the council’s Business Continuity arrangements and to receive significant risks outside council’s Control which are reflected on the Local Resilience Forum Community Risk Register.</p> <p>A number of Policies and procedures are in place including the Business Continuity Policy (September 2016) which was communicated to relevant staff. The Incident Response Plan updated in July 2017.</p> <p>Service Business Continuity Plans were in place for January 2018, the plans are undergoing a refresh in 2018.</p> <p>An Incident Management Team training session is planned for September 2018.</p> <p>A Senior Management on-call rota has been devised agreed and is regularly monitored.</p> <p>A successful annual recovery exercise Day Two was carried out 25th May 2018 and relevant improvements are being built into the wider council arrangements and will be briefed to the CRG.</p> <p>CLB accepted growth bid for extra staff on CPU team.</p> <p>(See CRR24 for Line of Business page 22, CRR25 for IT Resilience page 23 and CRR7 for Cyber-attack page 6.)</p>	↑	3	5	15	<p>The Business Continuity Policy is planned to be reviewed in November 2018.</p> <p>The Corporate Business Continuity Plan will be reviewed in December 2018.</p> <p>A review of Service Level Business Continuity Plans will be carried out by January 2019. We are introducing a quality assurance approach for our business continuity plans to emphasise service accountability.</p> <p>The Businesses Continuity Working Group will be refreshed within the year and we are currently drafting a plan for future exercises to test different elements of BCC Business Continuity arrangements with partners.</p> <p>Business Continuity refresher training is planned for November/December 2018.</p>	1	3	3
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and CLB.	Action Owner: Civil Protection Manager.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR15: Better Lives Programme.</p> <p>Failure to deliver the required outcomes and savings from the Better Lives Programme.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased demand and complexity of Service Users' needs. The Provider Market is unable to meet needs in the required way and/or we suffer relationship breakdown. Other Directorates within the organisation are unable to support the Programme in the way required. Statutory requirements of Adult Social Care (ASC) mean resources have to be diverted away from Programme activity. Changes to the priorities of the wider health system and/or the National context, requires us to divert resources/focus away from the Programme's objectives. There is a lack of sufficient skills and capacity within Adult Social Care (ASC) to deliver the required change at the required pace. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR23)</p>	<p>We have a Programme Board in place that meets monthly and has a key governance role for the Programme in terms of managing risk. The Board membership contains the Cabinet Lead for Adult Social Care, The Executive Directors for ACE and Resources, the Director of Adult Social Care and representation from both Bristol Hospital Trusts and the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG). They are provided with a verbal update and written monthly highlight report that contains key risks and issues. Any actions and decisions arising are minuted with completion tracked through a log.</p> <p>The Programme Senior Responsible Officer (SRO) regularly attends key internal governance meetings e.g. ACE Scrutiny Commission, Delivery Executive.</p> <p>We have delivered and are planning to deliver a number of key interventions to improve the diversity of provision and the Provider Market's ability to respond to changing requirements and needs e.g. Bristol Price introduced for residential and nursing care June 2018; Market Position statement provider event held .We are actively increasing opportunities to work with us in shaping the future market as well as investing in key areas such as Home Care (Cabinet approved rate rise and innovation fund July 2018).</p> <p>We are working closely with other areas of the Council we have a dependency on to help us deliver the programme outcomes e.g. Change Services, Housing, Communities, Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Procurement colleagues.</p> <p>We have a specific area of the Programme dedicated to strengthening partnership working.</p> <p>We have introduced a number of interventions that are impacting new demand e.g. the introduction of the Bristol Price (June 2018); increased capacity and investment in Home Care (July 2018); increased capacity in the Reablement Service; Introduced a new Home First Service (October 2018).</p>	New	2	7	14	<p>We are currently developing a new phase of the Better Lives Programme, focused on delivering the programme vision at pace. This will include activities to deliver further changes which are required around Older People's services and an increased focus on Adults of Working Age and Preparing for Adulthood.</p> <p>Piloting provider reviews to increase capacity in our Reviewing Teams and further develop the Market. (November 18)</p> <p>Developing proposals for a new Assistive Technology offer.</p> <p>Delivering new technology and working practices to our Social Workers.</p> <p>Deliver new technology and ways of working to our Home First and Reablement teams. Continue to increase the capacity of the Reablement service to the required level, Continuing to work closely with CCG colleagues working on the Healthier Together Programme.</p> <p>Implement Phase 2 of the Integrated Care Bureau.</p> <p>Introducing a further rate increase for Home Care. April 2019.</p> <p>Opening two new Extra Care Housing sites in the City each with 60 units with BCC nomination rights (120 units in total). November 2018.</p>	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education.	Action Owner: Interim Director Adult Social Care.	Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR16: Safeguarding Vulnerable Children.</p> <p>The council fails to ensure that adequate safeguarding measures are in place, resulting in harm or death to a vulnerable child.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safeguarding arrangements do not meet the requirements of the Children Act and associated legislation, guidance and regulations. • Inadequate controls result in harm. • Poor Management and operational practices. • Demand for services exceeds its capacity and capability. • Inability to recruit/retain social care staff in a competitive market. • Poor information sharing. • Strategic commissioning arrangements do not meet identified need and our ability to commission safe care for children is impaired. • Increase in complex safeguarding risks, criminal exploitation, serious youth violence and gang affiliation. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR9)</p>	<p>We provide regular analysis of performance and reports to Cabinet Members and Directors regarding safeguarding performance and progress.</p> <p>The Safeguarding Children’s Board provides independent scrutiny of children’s safeguarding arrangements in the city and holds BCC and partner agencies to account.</p> <p>There has been a review of arrangements to meet the Prevent Duty and the Safer Bristol Board has adopted an Improvement Plan to deliver better outcomes in service provision quality and safety.</p> <p>BCC works with partners to effectively identify victims and perpetrators of CSE and take action to disrupt and protect.</p> <p>Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements are in place (MAPPA) with BCC contributors at every level to support family safeguarding.</p> <p>The Safeguarding and Quality Assurance Service has been remodelled to secure additional capacity (Independent reviewing officer and Child Protection Chairs) and has the Local Authority Designated Officer for allegations against people who work with children.</p> <p>Comprehensive training and development offer, together with publication of Bristol’s policies and procedures and monthly professional supervision help ensure safe practice and adequate control of risks. This is monitored and tested through a performance and quality assurance framework.</p> <p>September 2018 Ofsted ILACS single inspection identified that, ‘services have improved substantially for care leavers, children in care and children in need of help and protection.’ However, there is more to do to ensure <i>all</i> children and families receive a good service. Based on this and self-evaluation, we are refreshing our transformation and improvement plan to address areas identified for improvement (these incorporate actions in response to learning from other Inspections, Peer Review, Serious Case Review, complaints and other feedback received).</p> <p>Bristol’s Strengthening Families transformation programme is taking a whole system approach to meeting the needs of children and families at the earliest point. In this way we aim to manage demand and maintain capacity within the system. Universal services may be supported by early help and targeted services, including a team around the school offer.</p> <p>Bristol has an active workforce strategy in place to attract, recruit and retain social workers with a particular emphasis on recruiting and retaining excellent, experienced social workers. The Management Team monitors social work vacancies and agrees strategies for urgent situations. Competent agency social workers and managers are used on temporary basis to fill vacancies. A number of further measures are being progressed with the aim of improving the retention of social workers. A robust social worker caseload monitoring framework is in place.</p> <p>Information sharing protocols are in place with services taking action to comply with GDPR where sensitive data is stored/processed.</p> <p>Children’s strategic commissioning team have a work plan in place.</p> <p>BCC commissioners work closely with operational services to identify need and ensure appropriate service commissioning.</p> <p>Due diligence and quality checks of all commissioned services for vulnerable children are in place.</p>	↔	2	7	14	1	7	7
<p>Risk Owner: Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education.</p>	<p>Action Owner: Director for Children’s and Families Services.</p>	<p>Portfolio Flag: Children and Young People.</p>	<p>Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing.</p>					

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>CRR17: Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults.</p> <p>The council fails to ensure adequate safeguarding measures are in place, resulting in harm or death to a vulnerable Adult.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequacy of its controls. • Management and operational practices. • Demand for its services exceeded its capacity and capability. • Poor information sharing. • Lack of capacity or resources to deliver safe practice. • Failure to commission safe care for vulnerable adults and the elderly. • Failure to meet the requirements of the “Prevent Duty” placed on Local Authorities. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR10)</p>	<p>The Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults Board is an independent scrutiny board led by BCC working in partnership with key agencies. There has been a multi-agency led review of existing arrangements led by BCC in light of the new Prevent Duty and the Board has adopted an Improvement plan to deliver better outcomes in service provision quality and safety. The Board is now on a statutory footing following implementation of the Care Act 2014. The Multi Agency Public Protection arrangements are in place (MAPP) and BCC and the multi-agency Board work is in conjunction with the Learning Disability and Mental Health services.</p> <p>The Bristol Safeguarding Adults Board Learning and Development Competence Framework has been reviewed and will be reviewed on an annually basis to ensure continued best practice.</p> <p>Safeguarding improvement plans are in place for Older People, Physical Disability and Disabled Children and the Capability framework for safeguarding and the mental capacity act have been introduced. The Adult Change Programme ‘ Better Lives’ - Transforming Care Programme has been established to implement policy objectives of moving people into more suitable care settings.</p> <p>We have an active strategy in place to attract, recruit and retain social workers through a variety of routes with particular emphasis on experienced social workers. The Adult South West Recruitment and Retention Strategy has been drafted, the risks and costs identified. The strategy will be presented through the Decision Pathway. Regular strategies and campaigns support the recruitment and retention of high calibre social workers and managers, with competent agency social workers and managers used on temporary basis to fill vacancies.</p> <p>All key staff working with people directly at risk are trained in the essentials of safeguarding and BCC has an ongoing awareness-raising ‘Prevent’ training programme.</p> <p>Regular reporting on safeguarding is taking place quarterly for Directors and Cabinet Members, with an annual report for elected Members to allow for scrutiny of progress. The quality assurance framework and performance framework is routinely monitored and reported on.</p> <p>The outcome of the recent Kamil Ahmad Safeguarding Adults Review has been considered in detail and all recommended actions noted and acted upon.</p>	↔	2	7	14	<p>The Adults Major Change programmes (Better Lives) launched in Autumn 2017 and led by Bristol City Council involving all partners with a safeguarding responsibility will be reviewed in November 2018.</p> <p>Through the Better Lives Programme we are reducing caseloads, ensure purposeful practice that supports Adults and elderly people to have safety within their families and provide local authority care for those who need it and ensuring effective management oversight.</p> <p>We are increasing capacity this year in the commissioning team to lead on monitoring quality in the care sector.</p> <p>It is planned to make a one off retention payment to all social workers as part of the council’s retention policy. A wider review of the remuneration package for social workers is planned to improve recruitment and retention.</p>	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education.	Action Owner: Interim Director Adult Social Care.		Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.			

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR18: Bristol City Council (BCC) Infrastructure Delivery.</p> <p>If the council fails to prioritise infrastructure investment and resources, has inconsistent policies and attitudes, and has no bargaining power regionally or with central government; there is a risk that inward investment will be reduced. It makes it difficult for the council to realise its strategic priorities, ensure assets are efficient and fit for purpose in meeting current and future demand and support development of the local area.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No clear strategic direction and objective set for the Property estate. Services and resources (human and financial) are not fully aligned and/ or controlled to deliver the objectives. Failure to deliver the level of anticipated Capital Receipts. Leadership capacity, engagement and capability are insufficient to drive change and transformation within the council. Resources are poorly managed, short term approach being adopted or are not contributing fully to council priorities; resulting in agreed outcomes and objectives not being fully achieved. Ineffective collection, integrity and use of data and information. Infrastructure Condition and suitability of overall asset base is not being used or managed efficiently or effectively. Lack of joined up planning, decision making and effective project management. Ineffective collection, integrity and use of data and information. Reduced public sector funding impacting on the resources available. Currently a more uncertain future due to Brexit. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR17)</p>	<p>Governance arrangements have been established through the Growth and Regeneration Board (G&RB) and the Strategic Property Group (SPG) both launched in Q4. 2017/18 to enable the integration of thinking about property with financial, regeneration and other considerations and enhance reporting of asset disposal plans and progress.</p> <p>The G&RB have identified a number of areas of growth and regeneration (AGR) across the City during Q4. 2017/18 to enable place shaping including contributing to regeneration activity, affordable housing, community building and the financial sustainability of the council.</p> <p>Prioritisation of AGR is underway by the G&R Board.</p> <p>The Strategic Property Review Group (SPG) was established in January 2018 and meets on a monthly basis. The SPRG identified the need for an Operational Property Group in March 2018.</p> <p>The Operational Property Group (OPG) as a sub-group to the Strategic Property Group (SPG) was launched September 2018 to unlock the value of assets, seek efficiencies through joint arrangements with public sector partners and maximise private sector investment. Actions are now being progressed through the work of the SPG and (from April/May 2018) through OPG which will adopt a Corporate Landlord role to ensure the ownership of an asset and the responsibility for its management; maintenance and funding are transferred to a centralised corporate crosscutting group.</p> <p>Recruitment of Asset Management Plan specialist has commenced.</p>	↔	2	7	14			
<p>Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.</p>	<p>Action Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.</p>	<p>Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.</p>	<p>Strategy Theme: Our Organisation</p>					
						1	5	5

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>CRR19: Failure to deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage events when they occur.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Critical services unprepared or have ineffective emergency and business continuity plans and associated activities. • Lack of resilience in the supply chain hampers effective response to incidents. • Lack of trained and available strategic staff. <p>(Previously Civil Contingencies and Council Resilience).</p> <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR12)</p>	<p>The Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) is a legally required multi-agency partnership of all the organisations needed to prepare for an emergency in the LRF area. It includes the emergency services, health services, Maritime and Coastal Agency, Environment Agency, volunteer agencies, utility companies, transport providers and the five councils of Bath and North East Somerset, Bristol, North Somerset, Somerset and South Gloucestershire. The Avon and Somerset LRF to drive work identified by risk and impact based on Avon and Somerset Community Risk Register. Key roles of the group includes: Intelligence gathering and forecasting, regular training exercises and tests, Task and Finish groups addressing key issues, procedure, plan writing and capability building, and a multi-Agency recovery structure is in place.</p> <p>Bristol is working with Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) together with personnel as an integrated and co-located team to deliver enhanced emergency planning and business continuity along with Avon and Somerset Local Health Resilience Partnership to ensure a coordinated health services and Public Health England and planning, response is in place.</p> <p>A system is in place for ongoing monitoring of severe weather events (SWIMS).</p> <p>Emergency planning training has been rolled and a multi-agency exercise is regularly conducted to test different elements of BCC emergency arrangements with partners. The most recent exercises being Day Two May 2018, Dark Zodiac April 2018 and Saxon Resolve November 2017.</p> <p>A senior management on-call rota has been devised, agreed and is monitored. Emergency Reservists have been recruited to aid emergency responses.</p> <p>External IT security incidents are logged and reviewed from an IT and wider Information Governance perspective.</p> <p>Local procedures have been established and are being continually reviewed and refined for when the national threat level increases to critical. This includes an update of the Corporate Business Continuity Plan.</p> <p>We tested the Bristol Operations Centre capacity to support multi-agency operations in July 2018.</p> <p>BCC took receipt the South West’s share of the National Emergency Mortuary Equipment in July 2018.</p>	<p>↔</p>	2	7	14	1	7	7
<p>Risk Owner: Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and CLB.</p>	<p>Action Owner: Civil Protection Manager.</p>	<p>Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.</p>	<p>Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.</p>					

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>CRR20: Service Review.</p> <p>The organisation is not able to redesign its leadership team quickly enough, which may result in a reduction in staffing levels. The loss of experienced and skilled staff will have an impact on service delivery, and on remaining staff.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff leaving due to service redesign, reduction/ceasing of some service areas, automation of processes, pace of change, efficiency/savings requirements. • Poor morale due to the impact of change and the working environment. • Potential increased staff sickness levels. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR8)</p>	<p>A senior management restructure is almost complete which will bring permanency and stability for the leadership team.</p> <p>A new Leadership Framework sets out the leadership behaviours and qualities expected of our managers and aspiring managers. Applicants for senior leadership roles are tested against these qualities.</p> <p>An organisation-wide leadership development and engagement programme will support colleagues during the time of transition. The Leadership Framework will be rolled out to provide support to enable our staff to become confident and supportive leaders for our workforce.</p> <p>To promote a positive culture within the organisation, a set of organisation values and behaviours has been created in collaboration with staff focus groups; this will help set the tone of the organisation and assist, in conjunction with the Corporate Strategy priorities, to provide clarity of purpose. It will link directly through to a new performance framework that will provide clarity to staff about the expectations and how their work contributes to the success of the organisation. This will feature in the Workforce Plan which is currently being drafted.</p> <p>Learning and development is available to support staff to meet the expectations of the organisation.</p> <p>The Corporate Strategy and associated Business Plans will provide clarity on priorities and help our workforce focus its attention and resources on the areas that derive greatest results for our communities and residents.</p> <p>The senior leadership restructure is complete and all posts have been filled.</p> <p>The organisation values and behaviours have been adopted as part of Corporate Strategy and are being rolled out to all employees via team workshops.</p> <p>A new Leadership Framework is in place and used as the assessment framework to recruit new senior leaders against.</p> <p>Learning and development support is available to all staff to help them develop their skills and confidence in their roles.</p>	↑	2	5	10	<p>A development programme for the new team is in the planning stages - to help the new team work effectively together.</p> <p>A leadership development programme is underway for team leaders and managers (4/5 tiers), with 148 currently on the programme and further intakes to follow. Leadership development planning is underway for third tier managers.</p> <p>A performance appraisal cycle is underway for 2018/19 with individual objectives set against business plan objectives from the Corporate Strategy. A new approach to performance and talent management is being developed for launch in April 2019.</p> <p>We continue with regular communication briefs with staff and key stakeholders to keep them up to date with organisational priorities and progress on recruitment of the senior leadership team (Ongoing).</p> <p>The developing Organisational Improvement Plan will detail the actions we will take to meet the future needs of the organisation and equip colleagues to contribute to the delivery of the corporate strategy priorities.</p>	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director of Workforce Change.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR21: Financial Framework and MTFP.</p> <p>Failure to be able to reasonably estimate and agree the financial 'envelope' available, both annually and in the medium-term and the council is unable to set a balanced budget.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Economic uncertainty impact on locally generated revenues - business rates and housing growth, impacting on council tax, new homes bonus and business rate income. Brexit - the general uncertainty affecting the financial markets, levels of trade & investment. Governments spending review 2019. Inadequate budgeting & budgetary control/Financial Settlements & wider fiscal policy changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The potential for new funding formulas such as fair funding, business rates retention to significantly reduce the government funding available to the council alongside possible increase in demand for council services. Embedding of the new national funding formula for schools and High Needs. Political failure to facilitate the setting of a lawful budget. Unable to agree a deliverable programme of propositions that enable the required savings to be achieved. Insufficient reserves to mitigate risks and liabilities and provide resilience. Rising inflation could lead to increased costs. Judicial review. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR13)</p>	<p>BCC manages its financial risks through a range of controls including budget preparation, budget setting and a Budget Accountability Framework. Roles and responsibilities for managing, monitoring and forecasting income and expenditure against approved budgets have been updated. The council has developed a strong rolling Medium-term financial planning process to enable the strategic objectives and the statutory duties are met. We are working to ensure a rigorous structure exists to oversee the budgetary control process from budget setting through to monitoring, oversight and scrutiny including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The maintaining of the evolving financial model that reflects in a timely manner changes in national and local assumptions. The level of reserves and balances are regularly reviewed to ensure that account is taken of any financial /economic risk and the adequacy of general reserves is determined as part of this exercise. Financial Regulations and Financial Scheme of Delegation is in place. Regular in-year monitoring and reporting, review of future financial plans and assessment of financial risks and reserves are undertaken to ensure the financial plans are delivered. 2018/19 Budget and Capital Programme agreed by Full Council in February 2018 with agreed savings programme and outline capital programme to 2023. Medium Term Financial Plan agreed by Cabinet / Full Council in December 2018 and will be refreshed annually detailing savings, growth, and risks. The Mid-Year Treasury Report for 2018/19 presented to Audit Committee and Full Council in accordance with the Council's Treasury Management Process. Budget deep dives to confirm delivery of pipeline savings and explore pressures and efficiencies as part of the 2019 -2023 budget process. A review will be ongoing to identify a programme of propositions that exceed the forecasted budget gap to provide members with options and headroom for variations in financial estimates. Changes to savings in year are monitored by delivery executive. <p>Provisional settlement from Central Government indicating a level of funding for 2019 which is broadly in line with our MTFP.</p>	<p>↔</p>	2	5	10	1	5	5
Risk Owner: S151 Officer and Director of Finance.	Action Owner: Section 151 Officer, Executive Director Resources and Director of Finance.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.					

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR22: Leadership.</p> <p>If there is a lack of leadership or management skills, then this could impact on performance and the ability to deliver positive change.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loss of experienced managers. • New skills sets required to meet new challenges. • Poor communication regarding change and new initiatives. • Need to make savings / increase income. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR16)</p>	<p>A senior management restructure is almost complete which will bring permanency and stability for the leadership team.</p> <p>A new Leadership Framework sets out the leadership behaviours and qualities expected of our managers and aspiring managers. Applicants for senior leadership roles are tested against these qualities.</p> <p>An organisation-wide leadership development and engagement programme will support colleagues during the time of transition. The Leadership Framework will be rolled out to provide support to enable our staff to become confident and supportive leaders for our workforce.</p> <p>To promote a positive culture within the organisation, a set of organisation values and behaviours has been created in collaboration with staff focus groups; this will help set the tone of the organisation and assist, in conjunction with the Corporate Strategy priorities to provide clarity of purpose. It will link directly through to a new performance framework that will provide clarity to staff about the expectations and how their work contributes to the success of the organisation. This will feature in the Workforce Plan which is currently being drafted.</p> <p>The Corporate Strategy and associated Business Plans will provide clarity on priorities and help our workforce focus its attention and resources on the areas that derive greatest results for our communities and residents.</p> <p>We have regular communication with staff and key stakeholders to keep them up to date with organisational priorities and progress on recruitment of the senior leadership team. A refreshed internal communication and engagement strategy was received by CLB in August 2018.</p> <p>The senior leadership restructure is complete and all posts have been filled in Quarter 3 2018.</p>	↔	2	5	10	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and CLB.	Action Owner: Head of Paid Service and CLB.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR23: Failure to deliver 2000 Homes per annum by 2020 of which 800 are affordable.</p> <p>The risk of failing to deliver the range of housing to meet Bristol's needs and not realise the ambition to deliver 2000 homes per annum by 2020 of which 800 are affordable.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inability of the housebuilding industry to deliver at this level. • Increased costs and labour associated with Brexit. • Local opposition to housebuilding. • Lack of capacity within the local market. • Lack of resources in key Council services which support Housing Delivery (i.e. Transport, Planning and Legal). • Lack of clear data about number of homes started on site and completed. • Lack of agility to deliver at pace on Council land due to procurement. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR18)</p>	<p>We are progressing the Joint Spatial Plan and the Local Plan Review to identify sufficient housing sites to meet need.</p> <p>Prioritising the processing of residential planning applications.</p> <p>Established a dedicated housing delivery team.</p> <p>Planning to release circa 26 sites for development.</p> <p>Established Housing Company.</p> <p>Committed £50m to Grant Funding programme.</p> <p>Secured circa £20m of external grant funding to accelerate construction.</p>	↔	2	5	10	<p>We are continually addressing all areas of affordable housing provision including: Community Led Housing (CLH), Registered Providers (RPs) and Direct Delivery, (New Council Homes).</p> <p>We are operating a significant land release programme to Registered Providers. (On-going).</p> <p>We are running series of ongoing issue busting exercises across the Housing Delivery Programme by March 2019.</p> <p>We are carrying out a service review of the Housing Delivery Service by March 2019.</p> <p>We are engaging with Homes England on their new strategic partnerships to deliver increased capacity in the sub-region and with their Accelerated Construction and Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) programmes to de-risk sites. (On-going).</p> <p>We are looking at how we can deliver additionally on existing sites utilising our grant to increase the number of affordable homes in 2019/20.</p>	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director City Growth, Investment and Infrastructure including Culture.	Portfolio Flag: Housing.			Strategy Theme: Fair and Inclusive.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR24: Legal disputes & claims, self-insurance and the risk of claims to the council not being covered by the council's insurance policies</p> <p>Key potential cause are:</p> <p>Public authorities undertake a number of public and statutory functions which can give rise to public and private liability. Councils are facing an increase in insurance claims and legal disputes as services are redesigned and the number of no win no fee business increase.</p>	<p>We are ensuring sufficient resources are available to secure the appropriate advice / advisor for complex operational issues and to protect / successfully defend the councils position should the need arise.</p>	↔	3	3	9	<p>We are carrying out a service review of the Insurance Service by February 2019 which will form the basis of the 2019/20 Service improvement plan.</p> <p>We are Identifying and reporting high risk cases and risks of significant costs in particular cases and identify mitigating actions.</p> <p>Ensure Senior Managers are kept informed of cost implications and risks in relation to their cases.</p> <p>We escalate decisions to pursue litigation in cases where legal advice indicates a significant likelihood of costs against the council.</p> <p>Service offer clear about payment of legal costs where action is taken against legal advice.</p> <p>Legal reserve has been agreed.</p>	2	3	6
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director Finance (Section 151 Officer) and Service Director, Legal and Dem Services	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR25: Bristol City Council Strategy Management.</p> <p>The council fails to produce or embed a Corporate Strategy with clear links through to business planning and performance management, resulting in less effective implementation of policy, use of resources and/or partnership working.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plans, policies, budget and/or resource not aligned to the Corporate Strategy. Significant changes in senior management roles and personnel results in lack of knowledge or a feeling of ownership in relation to the Corporate Strategy. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR17)</p>	<p>Full Council received and approved the Corporate Strategy 2018 - 2023 in February 2018.</p> <p>Cabinet received the BCC Business Plan 2018/19 to note in May 2018. This was based on key elements of Service Plans for every department.</p> <p>We have completed and approved the Performance Framework via Statutory and Policy Board in June 2018. The refreshed Performance Framework follows through the golden thread from Corporate Strategy through to KPIs and management information.</p> <p>The Communication Plan is in place for embedding the Corporate Strategy and Business Plans, including full briefing of all Tier 1 - 3 managers and for inclusion in My Performance reviews for all colleagues.</p> <p>An ongoing review of the Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) and wider policy / strategy framework to check alignment with Corporate Strategy, with revised MTFP to be presented to Cabinet in December 2018.</p> <p>Launched Business and Service Planning process for 2019/20 across the organisation, aligning service planning with annual budget.</p> <p>My Performance reviews undertaken throughout the organisation and management cascade underway to follow-up in any areas of non-compliance.</p>	↔	1	7	7	<p>We are rolling out the BCC Communications Plan throughout 2018/19 using many channels, including face-to-face Management Brief sessions.</p> <p>The BCC policy and strategy framework will be reviewed in priority order with initial data collection complete. The nature of reviewing strategies across the council means it will be an ongoing process.</p> <p>My Performance reviews are being completed across the organisation and managers are briefed to link personal objectives to the outcomes set out in the Corporate Strategy and Business Plan.</p> <p>The Corporate Strategy is being explained to all new colleagues via the corporate induction process.</p> <p>The Statutory and Policy Board will receive quarterly reporting from Q2. 2018/19 on progress against the Performance Framework.</p> <p>A one-off 'good housekeeping' review of corporate partnerships is planned for Q3/4 2018/19, creating a refreshed database and reviewing partnership Terms of Reference and/or Service Level Agreements against the Corporate Strategy and emerging partnership governance model as part of the developing One City Approach.</p>	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director: Policy and Strategy.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR26: Financial Deficit.</p> <p>The council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in reserves (actual or projected) being less than the minimum specified by the council's reserves policy.</p> <p>Key potential causes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A failure to appropriately plan and deliver savings unscheduled loss of material income streams. • Increase in demography, demand and costs for key council services. • The inability to generate the minimum anticipated level of capital receipts. • Insufficient reserves to facilitate short term mitigations, risks and liabilities. • Interest rate volatility impacting on the council's debt costs. • Impairments in our commercial Investments are realised. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Threat Risk CRR15)</p>	<p>BCC's Financial framework ensures that we have in place sound arrangements for financial planning, management, monitoring and reporting. New spend decisions and borrowing is only supported where the source of revenue resources to meet the costs is clearly identified and availability confirmed by Finance.</p> <p>Corporate Revenue Monitoring Reports with identified risks are reported to Cabinet, overspending departments prepare action plans with responsible Directors identified.</p> <p>The ongoing review and due diligence of all budget savings by Delivery Executive, Corporate Leadership Board and the Executive. The pipeline of propositions to be incorporated into the tracker, due diligence undertaken and subject to DE governance and assurance process.</p> <p>The ongoing regular monitoring reports to Corporate Leadership Team and Cabinet. Setting out progress on delivery of savings and other risks and opportunities in addition to the forecast expenditure.</p> <p>We have continual oversight and ongoing management of the council's financial risks.</p> <p>Internal audit also undertakes a number of reviews of our financial planning and monitoring arrangements.</p>	↑	1	5	5	1	5	5
Risk Owner: S151 Officer and Director of Finance.	Action Owner: Section 151 Officer, Executive Director Resources and Acting Director of Finance.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR27: Corporate Strategy</p> <p>The approved Corporate Strategy presents an opportunity to fundamentally refresh and strengthen our business planning, leadership and performance frameworks.</p> <p>Key potential causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approved Corporate Strategy provides the foundation and direction for the organisation. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Opportunity Risk OPP2)</p>	<p>We have approved and adopted the Corporate Strategy, Business Plan 18/19 and Performance Framework 18/19 through appropriate Decision Pathways.</p> <p>Re-launched and completed 'My Performance' reviews for all colleagues including annual objective setting linked to the Corporate Strategy and Business Plan 18/19.</p> <p>Designed and launched an integrated business planning approach for 2019/20, linking financial planning, service planning and performance management more closely and from an earlier starting point.</p> <p>The LGA Corporate Peer Challenge completed, providing fresh learning opportunities to improve our approach.</p> <p>Leadership Framework introduced and senior management posts recruited against it.</p>	↑	4	7	28	<p>We are continuing to scope and procure a replacement for the 'My Performance' system.</p> <p>We are reviewing results of LGA Corporate Peer Challenge and plan how to implement any resulting measures.</p>	4	7	28
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director: Policy, Strategy and Partnerships.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register as at January 2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR28: One City Approach</p> <p>The One City Approach will offer a new way to plan strategically with partners as part of a wider city system.</p> <p>Key potential causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mayoral aspiration and widespread partner sign-up to the principle. Work to date has produced outline plan and engaged partners in the long-term vision and necessary work to complete the plan. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Opportunity Risk OPP1)</p>	<p>We have scoped and entered 'Phase Three' of development of the One City Approach (OCA), including catalysing One City Plan development by providing core resource from the council's Delivery Support Unit.</p> <p>Worked on draft One City Plan content with partners and internal stakeholders, with a planned launch of the first iteration in January 2019.</p> <p>Aligned internal resourcing for One City Plan development with our review of Partnership Policy (see CRR21) to ensure a joined-up approach.</p>	↑	4	5	20	<p>Resource has been identified to help catalyse activity and develop the One City Plan product, with multiple offers of support from city partners. By January 2019 we will have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developed a 'One City Plan' in partnership with a variety of city-wide and regional organisations, including scoping the formal governance of the City Office and One City Approach. Continued existing initiatives to trial and iterate the One City Approach. Instigated or enabled new projects with partners where there is a strategic fit and an opportunity to further develop a working model. <p>Submitted a bid for council funding to contribute (alongside partners) towards a permanent City Office structure, to be confirmed through the council's annual budget process.</p>	4	5	20
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director: Policy, Strategy and Partnerships	Portfolio Flag: Mayor.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Corporate Risk Register as at December 2018 - Opportunity Risks									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR29: Devolution</p> <p>Should the potential arise for opportunities from a region’s evolving, second devolution deal that could lead to an opportunity to align the Council’s corporate priorities and strengthen regional partnership working.</p> <p>Key potential causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential development of second devolution deal. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Opportunity Risk OPP3)</p>	<p>We have continued engagement with WECA; but with recognition that focus has been placed more on a proposed housing fund.</p>	↔	3	5	15	<p>We will continue to engage with WECA at strategic level.</p> <p>We will engage with HM Government following suggestion that more devolution opportunities may be available following Brexit.</p>	3	5	15
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Head of Policy and Public Affairs.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Corporate Risk Register as at December 2018 - Opportunity Risks									
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Current Risk Level			What we are doing	Tolerance Risk Level		
			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
<p>BRR30: Brexit.</p> <p>If exiting the European Union provides benefits, such as increased domestic concentration of power, this may lead to opportunities for this to be harnessed at a local or regional level.</p> <p>Key potential causes for enhancing and exploiting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exiting the European Union. <p>(Corporate Risk Register Report: Opportunity Risk OPP4)</p>	<p>We have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Undertaken a draft internal assessment of threat and opportunities following an externally-provided workshop. • Established a city Brexit Response Group. • Met Michel Barnier in Brussels with the Core Cities. • Been monitoring the environment; including news of threats from large local employers of leaving UK. • Collaborated on draft Inclusive Economic Growth Strategy. • Announced funding of Settlement Visas for EU employees. • Participating in MHCLG events and national working group of local authority representatives. <p>We continue to work with Core Cities and M8 leaders on concerted joint efforts.</p>	↔	1	5	5	<p>We are monitoring the issue on an ongoing basis.</p> <p>We will complete and disseminate BCC threat and opportunity assessment, including links to our Business Plan 2019/20. This will include clear actions to be taken.</p> <p>Have further meetings of Bristol Brexit Response Group.</p> <p>Maintain the continued internal Brexit working group to ensure preparedness.</p> <p>Continued monitoring of external environment and government relations.</p> <p>Attend MHCLG regional preparedness workshop for Chief Officers.</p>	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Head of Policy and Public Affairs.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance.			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

Budget Risk Register Report Summary January 2019

Page	Budget Risk ID 2019/20	Corporate Risk Report Risk ID	Risk	Monetary impact of risk	As at Dec 2018		Financials			
					Rating	Travel	Y / N	£m	Source	
1	BRR1	BCCC2	Brexit		4x5=20	↓	Y	0	UR	
2	BRR2	CRR7	Cyber- Security(Previously Cyber- Attack)	High	3x7=21	↓	Y	0.5	UR	
3	BRR3	CRR26	ICT Resilience		3x7=21	New	N			
4	BRR4	CRR1	Long Term Commercial Investments and Major projects Capital Investment	50m	3x7=21	↔	Y	55.6	AMP/EMR	
5	BRR5	CRR3	Asbestos Management	Unlimited fines & custodial sentences	3x7=21		Y	0	AMP/EMR	
6	BRR6	CRR4	Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing	Unlimited fines & custodial sentences	3x7=21	↔	Y	0.5	EMR	
7	BRR7	CRR21	Information Governance	Increased fines	3x5=15	↓	Y	0.25	UR	
8	BRR8	CRR24	Procurement and contract management compliance		3x5=15	New	N	0	MA	
9	BRR9	CRR25	ICT Line of Business (LOB)		3x5=15	New	N	0	MA	
9	BRR10	BCCC1	Flooding		3x5=16	↔	Y	1.3	UR	
10	BRR11	CRR19	Tree Management		3x5=15	↔	N	0	MA	
10	BRR12	CRR21	Partnerships Governance	5m	3x5=15	↔	N	0	MA	
11	BRR13	CRR6	Fraud and Corruption	Up to £1m recoverable overpayments identified annually	3x5=15	↔	N	0	MA	
12	BRR14	CRR5	Business Continuity and Council Resilience	<3m	3x5=15	↑	Y	1.3	UR	
13	BRR15	CRR23	Better Lives Programme	>5	2x7=14	New	N	0	MA	
14	BRR16	CRR9	Safeguarding Vulnerable Children	Potential claims and litigation	2x7=14	↔	N	0	MA	
15	BRR17	CRR10	Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults	Potential claims and litigation	2x7=14	↔	N	0	MA	
16	BRR18	CRR11	BCC Infrastructure Delivery	>5	2x7=14	↔	N	0	MA	
17	BRR19	CRR12	Failure to deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage events when they occur.	<3m	2x7=14	↔	Y	1.3	UR	
18	BRR20	CRR8	Service Review	Loss of productivity	2x5=10	↑	N	0	MA	
19	BRR21	CRR13	Financial Framework and MTFP	>5M	2x5=10	↔	Y	0	UR	
20	BRR22	CRR16	Leadership	0	2x5=10	↔	N	0	MA	
21	BRR23	CRR18	Failure to deliver 2000 Homes per annum by 2020 of which 800 are affordable	0	2x5=10	↔	N	0	MA	
21	BRR24	FIN RR	Legal disputes & claims, self- insurance and the risk of claims to the council not being covered by the council's insurance policies	0.5m - 3m	3x3=9	↔	Y	0.75	EMR	
22	BRR25	CRR17	Strategy Management	>5m (potential)	1x7=7	↔	N	0	MA	
23	BRR26	CRR15	Financial Deficit	>5	1x5=5	↑	Y	7.5	CC/UR	
24	BRR27	OPP2	Corporate Strategy		4x7=28	↑	N	0	MA	
24	BRR28	OPP1	One City		4x5=20	↑	N	0	MA	
25	BRR29	OPP3	Devolution	>5m	3x5=15	↔	N	0	MA	
25	BRR30	OPP4	Brexit		1x5=5	↔	N	0	MA	
Strategies to manage risk										
Definitions of the provision identified in the table above table by which risk will be managed								Total £m	Reserve £m	
MA	Mitigating Action – Strategic Directors / Directors to identify alternative measures to manage risks / opportunities within available resources							0.0	0.0	
CC	Corporate Contingency - due to its recurrent nature a corporate contingency has been set aside							1.5	1.7	
AMP	Asset Management Plans – will require to be addressed through asset management plans.							45.6	45.6	
EMR	Earmarked provision – the Council has set monies aside in an earmarked reserve or other provision to meet the estimated costs.							11.3	4.0	
UR	Unallocated Reserve – Council would require drawing funding down from the unallocated General Fund balance to meet costs							10.7	20.0	
Long- term view - recognising that these will not all happen simultaneously over 1 year but could materialise over the 5 year MTFP period								97%	69.0	71.3

Risk Scoring Matrix

Likelihood	4	4	12	20	28
	3	3	9	15	21
	2	2	6	10	14
	1	1	3	5	7
		1	3	5	7
Impact					

Level of risk	Action required by level risk	
28	Critical:	Action required. Escalate (if a Directorate level risk, escalate to the Corporate Risk Register. Escalate corporate risks to the attention of the Cabinet Lead to confirm action to be taken).
14 - 21	High:	Must be addressed. If Directorate level, consider escalating to the Corporate Risk Register. If a corporate risk, consider escalating to the Cabinet Lead.
5 - 12	Medium:	Action required, manage and monitor at the Directorate level.
1 - 4	Low:	May not need any further action / monitor at the service level.

Current and Tolerance risk ratings: The ‘Current’ risk rating for both threats and opportunities refer to the current level of risk taking into account any strategies to manage risk - management actions, controls and fall back plans already in place. The ‘Tolerance’ rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain the level of the risk at the current level.

Positive Risks (Opportunities): Where the risk is an opportunity, a cost benefit analysis is required to determine whether the opportunity is worth pursuing, guided by the score for the matrix, e.g. an opportunity with a score of 28 would be pursued as it would offer considerable benefits for little risk.

LIKELIHOOD AND IMPACT RISK RATING SCORING**Likelihood Guidance**

Likelihood	Likelihood Ratings 1 to 4			
	1	2	3	4
Description	Might happen on rare occasions.	Will possibly happen, possibly on several occasions.	Will probably happen, possibly at regular intervals.	Likely to happen, possibly frequently.
Numerical Likelihood	Less than 10%	Less than 50%	50% or more	75% or more

Severity of Impact Guidance (Risk to be assessed against all of the Categories, and the highest score used in the matrix).

Impact Category	Impact Levels 1 to 7			
	1	3	5	7
Service provision	Very limited effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Impact can be managed within normal working arrangements.	Noticeable and significant effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Effect may require some additional resource, but manageable in a reasonable time frame.	Severe effect on service provision or a Corporate Strategic Plan priority area. Effect may require considerable /additional resource but will not require a major strategy change.	Extremely severe service disruption. Significant customer opposition. Legal action. Effect could not be managed within a reasonable time frame or by a short-term allocation of resources and may require major strategy changes. The Council risks 'special measures'. Officer / Member forced to resign.
Communities	Minimal impact on community.	Noticeable (positive or negative) impact on the community or a more manageable impact on a smaller number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than six months.	A more severe but manageable impact (positive or negative) on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than twelve months.	A lasting and noticeable impact on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals.
Environmental	No effect (positive or negative) on the natural and built environment.	Short term effect (positive or negative) on the natural and or built environment.	Serious local discharge of pollutant or source of community annoyance that requires remedial action.	Lasting effect on the natural and or built environment.
Financial Loss / Gain	Under £0.5m	Between £0.5m - £3m	Between £3m - £5m	More than £5m
Fraud & Corruption Loss	Under £50k	Between £50k - £100k	Between £100k - £1m	More than £1m
Legal	No significant legal implications or action is anticipated.	Tribunal / BCC legal team involvement required (potential for claim).	Criminal prosecution anticipated and / or civil litigation.	Criminal prosecution anticipated and or civil litigation (> 1 person).
Personal Safety	Minor injury to citizens or colleagues.	Significant injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues causing short-term disability / absence from work.	Major injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues may result in. long term disability / absence from work.	Death of citizen(s) or colleague(s). Significant long-term disability / absence from work.
Programme / Project Management <i>(Including developing commercial enterprises)</i>	Minor delays and/or budget overspend but can be brought back on schedule with this project stage. No threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget and no threat to identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones, and/or budget overspends. No threat to overall delivery of the project and the identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones; and/or major budget overspends. Major threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget, and achievement of one or more benefits / outcomes.	Significant issues threaten delivery of the entire project. Could lead to project being cancelled or put on hold.
Reputation	Minimal and transient loss of public or partner trust. Contained within the individual service.	Significant public or partner interest although limited potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation. Dissatisfaction reported through council complaints procedure but contained within the council. Local MP involvement. Some local media/social media interest.	Serious potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Dissatisfaction regularly reported through council complaints procedure. Higher levels of local or national interest. Higher levels of local media / social media interest.	Highly significant potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Intense local, national and potentially international media attention. Viral social media or online pick-up. Public enquiry or poor external assessor report.