

Appendix C: Schools block consultation collected responses document

School Formula consultation										
		Yes / No	Comments		Yes / No	Comments		a/b/c/d/e/f	All/Primary	Comments
Phase	Academy	Date received	Q1 : In line with DfE permitted transfers across blocks would you support a transfer of up to 0.5% from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block for 2021/22 financial year?	Q2 : Are there any factors or comments that you would want decision-makers to take into account if the Authority was to pursue such a transfer?	Q3 : The Schools Forum proposed that the Minimum Funding Guarantee be +0.5%, do you agree with this level of MFG?	Q4 : Do you have any other comments you wish to make about the operation of the MFG that should be considered by decision-makers for 2021/22?	Q5 : After meeting mandated commitments, which of the following options for distributing any remaining unallocated funding would you prefer?	Q6 : Should the choice in number 5 be spread across all mainstream schools equally or should we prioritise primary school factors?	Q7 : Do you have any other comments you wish to make about the arrangements for the operation of the mainstream funding formula that should be considered by decision-makers for 2021/22?	
Primary	Yes	10/11/20	Yes	Ensure the funds are clearly utilised to support with the transformation programme and other priorities to support HN pupils.	Yes	-	A	Yes favour primary slightly but still increase secondary factors too. 70% to primary and 30% to secondary	-	
Primary	No	04/11/20	Yes	How and where is it reported how this funding is used in more detail? It would be good to have some feedback on this Eg how much the work to provide additional SEND places is costing?	Yes	-	A	Primary	-	
Primary	Yes	05/11/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	All	-	
Primary	Yes	10/11/20	Yes	Ensure the funds are clearly utilised to support with the transformation programme and other priorities to support HN pupils.	Yes	-	A	Yes favour primary slightly but still increase secondary factors too. 70% to primary and 30% to secondary	-	
Primary	Yes	10/11/20	Yes	Ensure the funds are clearly utilised to support with the transformation programme and other priorities to support HN pupils.	Yes	-	A	Yes favour primary slightly but still increase secondary factors too. 70% to primary and 30% to secondary	-	
Primary	Yes	05/11/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	All	-	
Primary	Yes	10/11/20	Yes	Ensure the funds are clearly utilised to support with the transformation programme and other priorities to support HN pupils.	Yes	-	A	Yes favour primary slightly but still increase secondary factors too. 70% to primary and 30% to secondary	-	
Primary	Yes	05/11/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	All	-	
Primary	Yes	05/11/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	All	-	
Primary	No	15/10/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	Primary	-	
Primary	Yes	05/11/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	All	-	
Primary	Yes	10/11/20	Yes	Ensure the funds are clearly utilised to support with the transformation programme and other priorities to support HN pupils.	Yes	-	A	Yes favour primary slightly but still increase secondary factors too. 70% to primary and 30% to secondary	-	
Primary	No	06/11/20	No	-	Yes	-	C	Primary	-	
Primary	No	06/11/20	No	We do not receive enough funding for children in high needs. The transfer does not appear to help our school.	Yes	The school think that a hard funding formula is more fair for everyone, this proposed funding guarantee really helped us last year.	D	Primary	-	
Primary	No	06/11/20	No	-	Yes	-	C	Primary	-	
Primary	No	05/11/20	Yes	It is useful to know how the transfer will be used – at the consultation briefing it was mentioned that this would be ringfenced to fund the Educational Transformation programme. Is all of the funding transferred ringfenced for this programme or is some of it used for Top Up/AP?	Yes	-	A	Primary	We have considered Q6 above in a Senior Leadership Meeting and opted for 'Prioritise primary school factors'.	
Primary	No	05/11/2020 & 6/11/20	No	-	Yes	-	C	Primary	-	
Secondary	Yes	10/11/20	Yes	Ensure the funds are clearly utilised to support with the transformation programme and other priorities to support HN pupils.	Yes	-	A	Yes favour primary slightly but still increase secondary factors too. 70% to primary and 30% to secondary	-	
Secondary	No	06/11/20	No	This request is similar to one made 12 months ago and clearly indicates that BCC still hasn't got full control over its High Needs funding. This is another "short term" response to a long term failure to manage High Needs funding effectively. This will not be	Yes	Obviously we would like more, but we understand the budget constraints BCC are operating within.	E	All	It is important to spread any unallocated funding across all schools and not to single out any particular	
Secondary	No	06/11/20	No	If/when NFF is introduced this will not be allowed and High Needs is going to need to become self-sufficient. Whilst we acknowledge this may reduce our top-up funding it will increase our per pupil funding and allow us to manage the money ourselves and direct it as we see appropriate.	Yes	-	E	All	I found the briefing yesterday evening very helpful but would question the timing. The majority of people	
Secondary	Yes	10/11/20	Yes	Ensure the funds are clearly utilised to support with the transformation programme and other priorities to support HN pupils.	Yes	-	A	Yes favour primary slightly but still increase secondary factors too. 70% to primary and 30% to secondary	-	
Secondary	Yes	05/11/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	All	-	
All-through	Yes	05/11/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	All	-	
Secondary	Yes	10/11/20	Yes	Ensure the funds are clearly utilised to support with the transformation programme and other priorities to support HN pupils.	Yes	-	A	Yes favour primary slightly but still increase secondary factors too. 70% to primary and 30% to secondary	-	
Special	Yes	05/11/20	Yes	-	Yes	-	A	All	-	