

Corporate risk performance summary for threat risks

Risk Code and Title	Page Number	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix
CRR32 - Failure to deliver enough affordable Homes to meet the City's needs.	6	28	pood!ie - impact	28	Impact	28	Impact
CRR9 - Safeguarding Vulnerable Children	7	21	- Impact	28 	Likelihood Impact	28	Impact
CRR13 - Financial Framework and Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP)	9	21	Impact	21	pood Impact	21	Impact
CRR35 - Organisational Resilience	11	21	poo (lie) kij	21	Tikelihood	21	Impact
CRR10 - Safeguarding Adults at Risk with Care and support needs	13	21	Impact	21	Impact	21	poor line in the second
CRR39 - Adult and Social Care major provider/supplier failure	15	14	oo	14	lmpact	21	po ou justification of the control o
CRR41 - Long Term Major Capital Projects	16	28	lmpact	28	poot lie	20 1	poolija ji
CRR7 - Cyber Security	17	20	Impact	20	lmpact	20	Likelihood libract



Risk Code and Title	Page Number	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix
CRR25 - Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) systems.	18	20	Impact	20	Impact	20	lmpact
CRR37 - Homelessness	19	20	Impact	20	Impact	20	Impact
CRR6 - Fraud and Corruption	20	15	pod	15	Impact	15	lmpact
CRR12 - Failure to deliver suitable emergency planning measures and respond to and manage emergency events when they occur	22	15	podjeski	15	Impact	15	Display Impact
CRR5 - Business Continuity and Council Resilience.	23	15 1	podliej	15	Impact	15	Impact
CRR40 - Unplanned Investment in Subsidiary Companies	25	14	pood	15	Pood	15	lmpact
CRR15 - In-Year Financial Deficit	27	12	pood	15	lmpact	15	lmpact
CRR29 - Information Security Management System (ISMS)	28	20	pood!!»	20	lmpact	15 1	Impact
CRR4 - Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing	29	20	Pimpact	20	Impact	15 1	pood line in the state of the s





Risk Code and Title	Page Number	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix
CRR18 - Failure to deliver enough homes to meet the City's needs.	31	15	Impact	15	Impact	15	Lkelihood
CRR27 - Capital Transport Programme Delivery	32	9	lmpact	9	Pood	15	Impact
CRR23 - Adult and Social Care (ASC) Transformation Programme 2020/21-2021/22	36	10	pooline#1	20	pood.iii.e.iii.	15	Impact
CRR36 - SEND	34	10	Impact	10	pood line #1	10	Impact
CRR26 - ICT Resilience.	35	14	lmpact	14	lmpact	10	Impact
CRR34 - Corporate Equalities	38	5	lmpact	5	lmpact	5	Impact



Corporate risk performance summary for external and civil contingency risks

Risk Code and Title	Page Number	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix
BCCC3 – COVID-19	40	28	[Kell) od	28	pood a significant of the signif	28	Impact
BCCC1 – Flooding	42	15	poor dispersion of the second	15	T Impact	15	Impact

Corporate risk performance summary for opportunity risk

Risk Code and Title	Page Number	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Risk Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix
OPP1 – One City Approach	45	21	olihood	21	Silhood	21	eilhood
		-	를 Impact	-	Impact	-	Impact
OPP2 – Corporate Strategy	44	21	B O	14	poo	14	poo
		-	impact	↓	Impact	-	Impact



Corporate risk performance summary for closing/de-escalating risks

Risk Code and Title	Page Number	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Risk Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix
BCCC2 - Brexit	Closed	15	poodili	15	pood	10	ilihood
		-) Impact	_	当 Impact	Closed	当 Impact
CRR42 – Provision of Leisure Services	De-escalated	28 New	pood	14	poor	9	pool
		New	Impact	T	Impact	De-escalated	mpact
OPP4 - Brexit	Closed	5	ihood	5	pood	5	pood
		-	Impact	_	™ impact	Closed	≝ O Impact
OPP3 – Devolution	De-escalated	21	pool O	21	po ₀	15 De-escalated	poo
		-	意 当 Impact	-	impact	De-escalateu	impact



Corporate Threat Risks

					Cu	rrent Risk Le	vel	Tolerance Risk Level		
Threat Risk Title	e and Description			Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelih ood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR32 - Failure	to deliver enough affordable homes	to meet the City's	needs.							
Failure of the City to deliver to the Mayoral Target of 1000 affordable homes per year by 2024. Strategies and delivery models designed to further stimulate growth in the housing market and deliver diversity of the housing in the City prove to be ineffective.										
Key potential ca	uses are:									
 Subsidy availability Insufficient land available Uncertainty in the housing market as a result of Covid-19 Not enough planning applications submitted Not enough planning permissions granted Inability of the housebuilding industry to deliver at this level Increased uncertainty in the market due to Brexit Lack of capacity within the council's delivery system and the local market Insufficient housing land identified in strategic planning documents 				-	4	7	28	3	5	15
What we have	done			What we are d	oing	-		-		
Continue to deliver a targeted grant funding programme to subsidies the delivery of affordable homes. Working collaboratively with Homes England to maximise subsidy in schemes to provide as much affordable housing as possible. Requiring a minimum of 30% affordable housing on land released by the Council. Created a single multi-disciplinary Housing Delivery Team and additional capacity with Property, Planning, Highways and Legal. Released land. Issued grants to Registered Providers (RPs). Established a Local Housing Company (Goram Homes). Secured funding from Homes England under HIF and Accelerated Construction and Community Development in order to release further housing land.				Provide targeted Working Closely Identifying oppo De-risk the outst development op Ensure affordabl New frameworks planning applica Review & amend	with Homes Eng rtunities to acqu anding allocated portunities for fu e Housing is neg s for working col tions and conditi	land to ensurine additional sites in the surface delivers otiated to possible to some discharged to ensure discharged.	re additional su il affordable hor City to prepare s y. blicy requiremer to resolve issues e.	nes off the pipeline of the pi	ne shelf. e of investa ligible hou st on Resid	sing sites.
Risk Owners: Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Development. Action Owners: Director Development of Place and Head of Housing Delivery.			Portfolio Flag:	Housing		Strategic Theme:	Fair and	d Inclusive		

September 2018 Ofsted ILACS single inspection identified that, 'services have improved substantially for care



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolerance Risk Le		evel
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR9 - Safeguarding Vulnerable Children							
The council fails to prevent increased risk of harm to children, resulting in harm or death to a vulnerable child.							
Key potential causes are: • Demand for services exceeds its capacity and capability.							
 Inadequate controls result in harm. Increase in child protection, complex safeguarding risks, criminal exploitation, serious youth violence and gang affiliation. Hidden harm resulting from periods of lockdown, increased stress in families and service disruption during COVID Placement failure due to COVID infection across children's home or fostering households. An increase in demand of up to 5% is anticipated as a result of Covid and economic downturn, with some children more vulnerable to exploitation and abuse as a result of lost safe, stable and nurturing relationships. Increased destitution in families, impacting on mental ill health, managing increased infection within children and young people population and their parents. 	-	4	7	28	1	7	7
What we have done	What we are doing						
We regularly analyse performance against key causes and report to Cabinet Members and Directors regarding safeguarding performance and progress. A children's safeguarding assurance report updates senior leaders on a quarterly basis. The Keeping Bristol Safe Board provides independent scrutiny of children's safeguarding arrangements in the city and holds BCC and partner agencies to account. This includes delivery of Safer Communities and the Prevent Duty. BCC works with partners to effectively identify victims and perpetrators of extra-familial abuse including Child Sexual exploitation, Criminal Exploitation and Serious Violence, taking action to disrupt and protect. Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements are in place (MAPPA) with BCC contributors at every level to support family safeguarding.	We are reviewing and improving our ability and going missing foll Working with Univers Project to develop im identified and increas multiagency plan is because Delivery Plans ambitions for childrens Since the easing of Coexceptional circumsta	to understand lowing CSE/Mi sity of Bedford iproved respon sing risk of seri eing implement set out furthen and families.	I and respondissing National States of the American States of the Am	nd to childrer onal Working (rt of the Cont textual safegution and the Serious Y o mitigate risk ril face to face	n at risk of cri Group recomextual Safeguarding risks. criminal explouth Violence ks identified	minal explomendation uarding Scalar respons oitation a e Exec Groand deliver expected un	oitation ns. hile Up se to an up. r on our
Additional capacity has been committed to the Local Authority Designated Officer for allegations against people who work with children.	undertaken, and thes being rolled out this i	e are required	l to be sign	ed off by a Tie	er 3 manager	. As vaccina	ations are
Bristol's published policies and procedures, comprehensive training and development and monthly professional supervision help ensure safe practice and adequate control of risks. This is monitored and tested through a performance and quality assurance framework.	We have not yet seen downturn, but we are developing early inter	e monitoring t	his closely				



			d that 'children identified as being at	We are investing ir Children and Famil		ch and trainir	ng staff members at all levels of
Bristol has invest		es through an integ f children and fami	grated localities and team around the sch lies at the earliest point, build family within the system.		ies services.		
focussed on attr work vacancies a	acting, recruiting, retaining, and deve	eloping excellent so tem operates as sa	progression policy and workforce strates ocial workers. Senior leaders monitor soc fely as possible for children and families. ary basis to fill vacancies.				
	ring protocols are in place with partn lation) where sensitive data is stored		action to comply with GDPR (General Dat	1			
sufficiency throu		s. BCC commission	ace and are working to increase placementers work closely with operational service				
	namic multi agency sharing information k for children identified at the earlies		enable information to be shared between				
	mestic Abuse daily triage with colleage earliest opportunity and timely refer	_	tlink and our IDVA's to ensure support is rst Response if appropriate. (FT)				
Risk Owners:	Executive Director People, Director Children's and Families Services.	Action Owners:	Director Children's and Families Service	. Portfolio Flag:	Children and Young People	Strategic Theme:	Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing.



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolera	ance Risk L	evel
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR13 - Financial Framework and Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP)							
Failure to be able to reasonably estimate and agree the financial 'envelope' available, both annually and in the medium-term and the council is unable to set a balanced budget.							
Key potential causes are:							
• Failure to achieve Business Rates income- appeals/general economic growth/loss of major sites (in budget setting). • Economic uncertainty impact on locally generated revenues - business rates and housing growth, impacting on council tax, new homes bonus and business rate income. • Brexit - the general uncertainty affecting the financial markets, levels of trade & investment. • Governments spending review 2020. • Review of local Government funding through fair funding formula and business rates retention. • Impact of Covid-19 on key income sources. • Inadequate budgeting & budgetary control/Financial Settlements & wider fiscal policy changes:- • The potential for new funding formulas such as fair funding, business rates retention to significantly reduce the government funding available to the council alongside possible increase in demand for council services. • Embedding of the new national funding formula for schools and High Needs. • Political failure to facilitate the setting of a lawful budget. • Unable to agree a deliverable programme of propositions that enable the required savings to be achieved. • Insufficient reserves to mitigate risks and liabilities and provide resilience. • Rising inflation could lead to increased cost. • Judicial review.	•	3	7	21	2	3	6
What we have done	What we are doi	ng					
BCC manages its financial risks through a range of controls including budget preparation, budget setting and a Budget Accountability Framework. Clear roles and responsibilities for managing, monitoring and forecasting income and expenditure against approved budgets are in place. 2021 Budget presented and approved by Council February 2021. The council has developed a strong rolling Medium-term financial planning process to enable the strategic objectives and the statutory duties are met. We are working to ensure a rigorous structure exists to oversee the budgetary control process from budget setting through to monitoring, oversight and scrutiny including: The maintaining of the evolving financial model that reflects in a timely manner changes in national and local	The impact of Cororganisation in the in some of the Cothe response. The however due to in uncertainty about on-going financial	ne short term a nuncil's key inc e 2021/22 set mminent chan t medium tern I position.	and long te come strear an indicativ ges in loca m impact of	rm. There is a ms and also signed be balanced point government in Covid-19 the	significant ir gnificant cost osition for th funding and ere remains s	mmediate r ts associate e medium also signifi significant r	eduction ed with term, cant risk to the
 assumptions. The level of reserves and balances are regularly reviewed to ensure that account is taken of any financial/economic risk and the adequacy of general reserves is determined as part of this exercise. Financial Regulations and Financial Scheme of Delegation is in place. 	All underlying ass downturn will sig rates retention.	•					
	A review will be on forecasted budge financial estimates	et gap to provi			•		

CIPFA Financial Management Code for Local Authorities has been released for full

Planned skills development remains a key priority which will include commercial and business acumen. This will be

an ongoing and aligned with professional development.





working groups	exploring future local fund	ing.	nely feedback from the range of Government to take in a longer-term view.	implementation	from April 2021.		
Risk Owners:	Chief Executive and Director of Finance (S151 Officer).	Action Owners:	Director of Finance (S151 Officer), Chief Accountant.	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Governance and Performance	Strategic Theme:	Our Organisation



		Cı	ırrent Risk Level		Tolerance Risk Level		
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR35 - Organisational Resilience							
Emerging risks, disruptions and disturbances can threaten the operations and reputation of the Council. Acute shocks and the impact of chronic stresses result in crises which are becoming an everyday occurrence. The landscape in which the council operates is rapidly and continually changing, often unpredictably.	_	3	7	21	2	5	10
Key potential causes are:							
• Environmental hazards, Economic and social change, Geo-political change, Natural disasters, Climate change, Health/ disease risk, Terrorism, Cyber-crime							
What we have done	What we are doing						

In the past quarter we have reviewed Recovery progress (including receiving an internal audit report on its governance) and also a wide evidence base as part of preparing for an update of the Council's medium term Corporate Strategy and Medium-Term Financial Plan. We have added 'Resilience' as a key design principle for the council within the refreshed draft Corporate Strategy 2022-27, and planned sessions for

engaging senior managers and staff in this.

We have identified a need to review business continuity planning to gain assurance on its effectiveness, ensure lessons from Covid-19 response are built in, and that in key business areas these plans are actively rehearsed. This has been embedded within Service Planning for 2022/23.

Plans to create a Strategic Crisis Management Plan are on hold whilst the Corporate Resilience Group is re-scoped and relaunched, enabling it to take on board Covid-19 response learning as part of developing this Plan.

We have continued to respond to emerging external threats and issues, including monitoring, horizon scanning and planning through several forums, including the Local Resilience Forum/ResCG multi-agency work, reconvening the council's internal Business Continuity Group and holding a preparatory meeting of the internal Severe Weather Group ahead of winter 21/22.

Work will continue to complete, approve, launch and embed a new Corporate Strategy which includes design principles for the organisation and what its priorities are for the coming 3-5 years, making it central to both resilience and recovery

Response to Covid is continuing and as further easing of restrictions occurs it is possible new or additional business continuity risks or issues will emerge.

planning as they become part of 'business as usual' within the context of the so-called 'new normal'.

We are reviewing energy prices and provision in light of national issues.

Business continuity plans are being reviewed and more testing of these undertaken as part of a longer-term programme to provide assurance on continuity arrangements. Given the scale of services the Council provides, this will need to be undertaken in a prioritised and targeted way.

We are continuing to prepare for emergency situations, including a planned COMAH exercise in November 2021, a counter-terror exercise in late November / December 2021, and supporting an NHS mass casualty exercise and Bristol Airport exercise before Christmas 2021.

Work is also being done to reinvigorate the Corporate Resilience Group and take on-board learning from the prolonged Covid-19 response in how we manage resilience and plan for the future.

We are mapping compound pressures affecting us and considering threats as we approach winter 21/22, including holding a discussion with city partner representatives to compare threats and issues facing us all. The ongoing Covid crisis alongside the oncoming seasonal flu continues to present health risks to citizens and staff, and alongside well





			publicised nati organisation.	onal issues affecting supply chains an	d fuel/energy price	s, presents an ongoing high level of risk to the
Risk Owners:	Chief Executive	Director Policy, Strategy & Partnerships	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Governance and Performance	Strategic Theme:	Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolerance Risk Level			
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	
CRR10 - Safeguarding Adults at Risk with Care and support needs.								
The council fails to ensure adequate safeguarding measures are in place, Adults at risk.								
Key potential causes are:								
 Adequacy of controls. Management and operational practices. Demand for services exceeds capacity and capability. Poor information sharing. Lack of capacity or resources to deliver safe practice. Failure to commission safe care for adults at risk. Failure to meet the requirements of the 'Prevent Duty' placed on Local Authorities. Increased destitution in families, impacting on mental ill health, managing increased infection within the population. (COVID19) Increased isolation. (COVID19) Carer strain / resilience. (COVID19) Absence of building based services whilst we have reduced community solutions. (COVID19) 	-	3	7	21	1	7	7	
What we have done	What we are doing	•		-				
Bristol has the Keeping Bristol Safe Partnership (KBSP), which covers Adult Safeguarding, Children's Safeguarding and Community Safety. The Board has senior executive representation and ensures a strong focus on matters of strategic concern. The Keeping Bristol Safe Board provides independent scrutiny of adult safeguarding in the city and holds BCC and partner agencies to account. The Keeping Adults Safe board reports into the KBSP and has oversight of adult safeguarding priorities. KBSP business plan priorities are agreed and being actioned and regularly reviewed. The Adult Social Care Transformation programme has been established to implement policy objectives of delivering financial sustainability and 'right positioning' care delivery in the Bristol health, care, and wellbeing system. An active strategy is in place to attract, recruit and retain social workers through a variety of routes with particular emphasis on experienced social workers. Regular strategies and campaigns support the recruitment and retention of high calibre social workers and managers, with competent agency social workers and managers used on	monitored through Power BI and reported to DMT by exception. Services operating within Covid guidance and are provision a near to normal to						been guarding, i g Covid.	
temporary basis to fill vacancies. All key staff working with people directly at risk are trained in the essentials of safeguarding and BCC has an ongoing awareness-raising 'Prevent' training programme.	Development and de partnership	livery of an Ad	ults Multi-	agency Safegu	uarding Hub a	as a priority	/ for the	



			Power BI data	set heing used to monit	tor performance tre	ends, timeliness alongside auditing.
		to respond to provide financial protection to adults with 0 elves and have no one willing or unable to act on their beh	are	ract being used to morn	tor perrormance, tre	inus, timeliness alongside additing.
Annual report sh	ared with Elected Members to allow	for scrutiny of progress of the KBSP.				
The quality assur	rance and performance visits to team	ns				
Corporate safegu	uarding policy in draft and going to Ca	abinet to be agreed and signed off.				
J	, ,	y Risk Assessment Conference) and Multi Agency Public BCC contributors to support risk management.				
Safeguarding Dis	cussion Forum set up to ensure com	plex or stuck cases are addressed in a timely manner.				
Improving Perfor	mance-Developed a new data collec	ction with Power BI which is entering its testing phase.				
action plan is in p	place. Agency staff agreed to increase	cklog in safeguarding referrals due to reduced capacity and e capacity within the safeguarding adults team. Flow and being constructed for sign off at Director level.	an			
_	• •	n monitoring and assuring quality in the care sector with cl s enacted to support and manage whole service risks.	ear			
		LB to be agreed and signed off procedures being written to gic Safeguarding Leads Group Meeting set up.				
Improving Perfor	mance-Developed a new data collec	tion with Power BI which tested and is live.				
Risk Owners:	Executive Director People, Director Adult Social Care.	Action Owners: Director Adult Social Care.	Portfolio Flag	: Adult Social Car	Strategic Theme:	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.



			Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolera	ance Risk L	evel
Threat Risk Title and Description		Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR39 - Adult and Social Care major provider/supplier	r failure							
Failures or closures in the supply chain mean insufficier Care Act needs.	nt supply to source adequate appropriate support and meet							
Key potential causes are:		•	3	7	21	2	7	14
 Major national care home provider goes into liquidati Major local provider/unable to meet demand due to r 								
What we have done		What we are doing						
house or externally commissioned) to meet needs. Dailbusiness continuity meetings across operations, commidemand and OOH flow. All document in an ASC busines documented in a weekly SITREP provided by commission including quarterly corporate reporting on quality. Cost join up approaches and support supply and flow in all caplace working alongside procurement colleagues. Work current climate.	t pressures increasing and seeking investment from health to care pathways. Provider Financial sustainability process in k on managing market prices albeit this is challenging in	deliver care at home ensure/ guarantee su through these wicked. Timely distribution of Authorities) discretio Capacity fund to suppworkers, funding for Continued and increawith providers. Forth neighbouring authori providers to assess an Review of Provider Fi transparent and colla costs, Brexit). Updatin pandemic.	1) sourced PH Covid money to support investment in supply of care for vulnerab funding VCSE organisations such as Age Uk Home Support service and other organisations such as Age Uk Home Support service and other organisations such as Age Uk Home Support service and other organisations are to try the surface of the supply of care, mainly homecare and 4) provider crisis meeting through these wicked issues, mainly workforce related, and solutions as they see a support distribution of Government funding (e.g., Infection Control Fund) and use Authorities) discretionary payments to support providers. Innovative use of Wor Capacity fund to support bank staff project and wellbeing and resilience training workers, funding for Proud to Care projects. Continued and increased QA (Quality Assurance) team intervention and prevention with providers. Fortnightly liaison meetings with CQC and CCG reps and closer we neighbouring authorities. Fortnightly meetings with Care Provider association and providers to assess and plan risks to the sector and wider monthly provider forum. Review of Provider Financial Sustainability process- updating of paperwork and pandamic costs, Brexit). Updating of continuity plan and Provider Failure policy to address and pandamic.					
' '	Action Owners: Director Adult Social Care	Portfolio Flag:	Adult Social (Care.	Strategic Theme:	Our Organis others and (Inclusive, W Wellbeing.	Caring, Fair	and



					Curren	t Risk Lev	el	Tolera	nce Risk L	evel
Threat Risk Title	and Description			Performance Likelihood Impact Risk Rating Likelih						
CRR41 - Long Te	rm Major Capital Projects									
BCC'S long-term	major capital projects may require g	reater than anticip	pated investments.							
Key potential cau	uses are:									
of the asset exce time, Oversight o projects lead to r	eds expectations, Strategic, geograp	hic, social, financia I managed, Insuffi d party investmen	lanned, The operating and maintenance cost all and economic conditions changing over cient in-house resources to progress major t, Failure to anticipate and secure	r L						7
What we have d	one			What we are do	ing					
oversight and ste The Growth and of regeneration a alignment with the The G&R Board in shaping and cont the Council and the The Covid-19 par based working, s construction pro-	ewardship role for the delivery of the Regeneration (G&R) Board meets more assets and growth programmes and phe wider objectives of the Council. The sidentified a number of Areas of Gribute to regeneration, affordable here the AGR are regularly reviewed and redemic continues to impact on the decupply chain partners furloughing statigicts. Partner, Arcadis, appointed in February	eration (AGR) across the City to enable place building and the financial sustainability of e G&R Board. ajor projects owing to restrictions placed on iterial suppliers only delivering to critical disastion phase concluded in May 2021. A	projects and other deliverables in the light of the on-going global Covid-1s as assessing its impact on long-term commercial investments and major of delivery. The recent appointment of the Capital Strategic Partner is starting to have performance culture across major capital programmes. There will be qual meetings between BCC's Senior Leadership and the Strategic Partner to reand progress with Capital Programme delivery. Workshops organised in July 2021 to review and refresh the Capital Programaced on followed in August 2021 by a similar workshop to review Capital receipting						ic, as well ject ct on the ew formance b be il. There e annual	
(EDM) and G&R I	Board; and the programme has started of enhanced highlight and exception he portfolio. Project officers now rou	ed to deliver.	egeneration Executive Director Meetings G&R Board has had a positive impact on &R board to provide an overview of progress	sense of joined up programme management across BCC. In addition, we are trialling recommended approach to embedded assurance with some key Capital Projects.						-
Risk Owners:	Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owners:	Executive Director Growth and Regeneration and Director Economy of Place.	Portfolio Flag: Mayor and Finance, Governance and Performance. Strategic Our Organisation, Empo Theme: Caring, Fair and Inclusive Connected, Wellbeing.						





					Curre	nt Risk Lev	el	Toler	ance Risk L	evel
Threat Risk Title	e and Description			Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR7 - Cyber Se	curity									
The Council's ris	k level in regard to Cyber-security is h	nigher than should	be expected.							
Key potential ca	uses are:			_		_	20		-	_
• Reliance on in-	ment in appropriate technologies. -house expertise, and self-assessmen approach to risk management (ISO27 f focus.			4	5	20	1	5	5	
What we have d	done			What we are doi	ing					
As well as technical controls, the Council continues to carry out regular Phishing attack exercises where we are sending emails to staff to see how users react to this type of Cyber Attack. Anyone clicking on links is directed towards targeted training. The Information Governance (IG) and ICT team will continue to work together to support the SIRO to develop appropriate targeted training for all Council staff relating to cyber security. The IG Team are continuing to work with ICT and Microsoft on the delivery of technical controls.					I controls are being as been undertaken tivities. These are be o upskill via trainin olleagues continues being undertaken ternal partners has Risk. IG continue to IG Board.	n to provid being mana g and othe s and discu	e assurance and ged by InfoSe r means. ssions around the direction	nd help with ic team and r cementing r	direction of eported vision oles and distinction of the mitigation of the distinction of	f travel a the IG
Risk Owners:	Chief Executive, Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).	Action Owners:	Head of Information Assurance, Information Governance.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Theme:						



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolerance Risk Level			
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	
CRR25 - Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) systems.								
The Council has reliance on legacy software systems which cause a number of risks due to; 1. Supportability from internal IT resource 2. The supportability of the hardware utilised 3. Lack of alignment to strategy and therefore a blocker to Digital Transformation 4. Within an appropriate support contract 5. Legacy data used for current work (GDPR) 6. Lack of Information (Cyber) Security controls 7. High cost where alternative core Council solutions exist								
Key potential causes are:		4	5	20	2	5	10	
 Sovereignty within service areas, and a lack of motivation to change. Cost of transition. Lack of knowledge of which systems are problematic and the impacts of these. Lack of understanding of impact. Lack of ownership from Information Asset Owners. Lack of documentation pertaining to software systems and ownership of strategy. Cost avoidance of replacing systems. This is seen as an IT problem, not one for the software system owners. 		7	J	20	2	,	10	
What we have done	What we are doing	3		-				
Initiated audit of all council Line of Business (LoB) systems.	Undertake compre per threat risk desc scored and any kno and to agree action IT Services continue manner) to Heads of continues. We cont systems which may that Line of Busines	cription). Place own mitigation of plan. e to highlight r of Service and tinue to work we perpetuate a ss (LOB) syster	e all risks in noted. Th isks and sh Senior Lea with Inform Cyber Secuns that pos	nto an Operation of the presentation of the pr	onal Risk for ented to CLE with systems (the on-goin nce colleague nation Manag urity, Procur	mat. Risks B for furthe Gin an inform g formal re es regarding gement risk ement or	will be r review mal view g those c. Ensure	
	Resilience/Recover services. Where appropriate							
	colleagues, and to	the SIRO, as ap	propriate.					
Risk Owners: Director, Digital Transformation, Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) for Cyber Security. Service Areas for BCP/DR. Owners: Transformation.	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Government		Strategic Theme:	Our Organi	sation		



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolerance Risk Level			
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	
CRR37 - Homelessness								
The risk that homelessness and the subsequent cost of providing emergency short term accommodation will continue to rise.								
Key potential causes are:	-	4	5	20	3	5	15	
 The ending of the eviction ban Unemployment rising leading to an increase in evictions from private rented tenancies. COVID 19 and lockdown leading to an increase in mental health issues, family relationship breakdown and domestic violence & abuse. 								
What we have done	What we are doing							
Continuing to progress the One City move on project, which is delivering additional move on accommodation for people that are homeless. This includes: Securing funding through the first two rounds of the rough sleeper accommodation programme and have submitted a bid for the third round Successfully moved on most households placed in Temporary Accommodation through our everyone in initiative This has reduced the number of households from a peak of 1122 to 960. Initiated a project with the aim of reducing the net unit cost of Temporary Accommodation. Opportunities being explored and prioritised. Ongoing work with the wider homelessness sector, advice agencies and key partners identifying opportunities to work collaboratively around early intervention and the prevention of homelessness. Bristol has secured a £3.3 million grant from the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government's (MHCLG) three-year 'Changing Futures' scheme. Delivery starts now and runs until March 2024. We have been working closely with commissioners of domestic abuse services and providers to support move on from refuge accommodation.	The number of house COVID and is not red Introduce longer terr cost of TA to BCC. Ongoing work with the develop proposals are prevention of homely We continue to prograccommodation function BCC is working with prioritise the use of Esustainment.	ucing. In contracts for the broader hor and opportunitie the essness. The stress the Move the from our sopartners in dev	Temporar melessness es to work On Project uccessful b reloping an	y Accommoda sector, advice collaboratively Bringing onli ids. d delivering its	e agencies and around early ne additional	reduce the discount of the contract of the con	e net unit ners to cion and d move on me.	
Risk Owners: Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Housing. Action Owners: Director Housing.	Portfolio Flag:	Housing			Our Organisa and Caring, F Well Connect	air and Ind	clusive,	



	_ ,	Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Toler	ance Risk L	evel
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performanc e	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR6 - Fraud and Corruption							
Failure to prevent or detect acts of significant fraud or corruption against the council from either internal or external sources.							
Key potential causes are:							
 Heightened levels of fraud, including cyber fraud, as criminals attempt to exploit the COVID-19 pandemic. Relaxation of controls in current emergency environment (Covid 19) as payments and support are being dispersed quickly in line with government requirement. Failure of management to implement a sound system of internal control and/or to demonstrate commitment to it at all times. Not keeping up to date with developments, in new areas of fraud. Insufficient risk assessment of new emerging fraud issues. Lack of clear management control of responsibility, authorities and / or delegation Lack of resources to undertake the depth of work required to minimise the risks of fraud /avoidance. This potential cause is highlighted at this time given the potential impact of the current pandemic situation and with staff redeployed to support the emergency response. Under investment in fraud prevention and detection technology and resource. 	-	3	5	15	3	3	9
What we have done	What we are	doing	-	-	-	-	
The Council's exposure to fraud has increased due to Covid and the uncertainty with the pandemic coupled with another national lockdown means that more proactive and reactive work needs to be undertaken to support the business to ensure that fraud losses are minimised. As the government continues to avail large support grants to businesses and individuals, we are using analytic tools and increased resource to undertake both pre-payment fraud checks and post payment fraud assurance checks. Strengthening our arrangements for collating, sharing and analysing intelligence through joint prepayment checks involving West of England Combined Authority Councils and North Somerset Recognising the economic impact of the pandemic and other potential recessional pressures, we are monitoring and reassessing all other types of fraud ensuring that appropriate prevention and detection controls are in place. Given the increased threat of cybercrime we are strengthening our fraud and cyber controls to ensure they are adequate to meet the challenge.	Output from the National Fraud Initiative data matching exercise is be including new reports relating to Covid grants.				e is being re areness of i erm solution p an Outlin	eviewed t. n to e Business	
Continuously participating in anti-fraud exercises including the National Fraud Initiative							



	of a regional fraud hub that will maximise the use of y in tackling fraud as this risk increases.	advanced analytics and more datasets is progressing				
	g an independent review of our whistleblowing arran of arrangements.	gements to obtain assurance on the adequacy and				
Risk Owners:	Chief Executive and Director of Finance (S151 Officer).	Action Director of Finance, Chief Internal Auditor. Owners:	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Governance and Performance	Strategic Theme:	Our Organisation



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolerance Risk Level			
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	
CRR12 - Failure to deliver suitable emergency planning measures and respond to and manage emergency event when they occur								
If the City has a Major Incident, Contractor Failure or the council inadequately responds, then the impact of the event may be increased with a greater impact on people and businesses.								
Key potential causes are:	-	3	5	15	1	5	5	
 Emergency risks not identified and prepared for. Lack of trained and available responding staff. Emergency roles and responsibilities not embedded. 								
What we have done	What we are doing							
CPU have supported the Covid response and responded effectively to concurrent incidents including fatal industrial accidents, residential fires, water and utility outages and protests.	Restarted the Corporate Resilience Group, directorate leads in place.							
BCC has a leading role within the Local Resilience Forum.	Continuing to work closely with the LRF.							
	Held our winter preparation weather meeting							
Severe weather incidents are monitored via the SWIMS system.	Horizon scanning inte	ernally and LRF	on the ove	erlapping risk	this winter ar	nd the miti	gations	
Emergency planning training with multi-agency exercising in place. Duty director rota in place.	needed.							
24/7 Operations Centre provides effective monitoring for the city and a coordinator role in response and recovery								
Recruitment of a fixed term Civil Protection Officer to support Covid response.	Introduction to Emer	gency Planning	g e-learning	g package will	soon be avail	able for al	l staff.	
Covid emergency has stretched BCC's emergency response capacity and created additional strains and pressures. Managed through BAU and business continuity planning and escalation.	S.							
BCC took receipt of the South West's share of the National Emergency Mortuary Equipment in July 2018 and arrangements for establishing Flax Bourton Public Mortuary as a dedicated disaster mortuary are in place.								
Review of COMAH (Control of Major Accident Hazards) Plan complete								
Risk Owners: Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Management of Place, and Director Management of Place. Action Director Management of Place, and Owners: Civil Protection Manager.	, and Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance Strategic Our Organisation, and Performance Theme:							



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolera	nce Risk L	evel
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR5 - Business Continuity and Council Resilience.							
If the council has a Business Continuity disruption and is unable to ensure the resilience of key BCC operations and business activities, then the impact of the event maybe increased with a greater impact on people and council Services.							
Key potential causes are:							
 Strikes (People, Fuel). Loss of key staff (communicable diseases (Covid - illness and self-isolation) and influenza. Loss of suppliers / supply chain disruption. Loss of accommodation to deliver key services. Loss of equipment / infrastructure, including utilities. Any event which may cause major disruption - e.g. severe weather Unavailability of IT and/or Telecoms. Knowledge loss. Reduced chances of preventing/ responding to incidents due to a lack of forward planning or investment. 	•	3	5	15	1	5	5
What we have done	What we are doing						
A number of Policies, procedures and arrangements are in place including duty rotas for key service areas and the Duty Director rota.	Due to risk due to cyb the event of a loss of	IT services, a p	oroject is b	eing develope	d across CPU,	IT and Inf	ormation
The Covid Continuity Group has now been stood down. However, it has been established as a successful model for managing business continuity challenges and is being written into plans to be reconvened when necessary in future.	Assurance to: review increase services und plans align with disast services have in place	erstanding of ter recovery so	the resilien chedules; i	ice they can ex mprove the qu	xpect from IT; ality of the B	ensure th Carranger	nat BC ments
The review of Service Level Business Continuity Plans planned for January 2021 was delayed by the Covid second wave. Although some of this work will be addressed in the project outlined above, a wider review needs to be rescheduled.	plans on a 'corporate BIA and BCPs are requ				rvice planning	process.	
Business Continuity Awareness Week took place between 17th and 21st May and we are working with Internal Communications to ensure messages to managers encourage review of continuity arrangements.							
CPU continue to lead exercises to support service response and continuity (e.g. election resilience).							
We continue to work closely with partners through the LRF to understand Covid, EU Exit Risks and other risks and the impact they may have on continuity							



Risk Owner: Executive Director Growth and Regeneration Chief Executive, Director Management of Place.	Action Owner: Director Management of Place and Civil Protection Manager.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Strategic Our Organisation, Wellbeing. Theme:
---	--	---	---



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolerance Risk Level		
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR40 - Unplanned Investment in Subsidiary Companies BCC'S investments in subsidiaries may require greater than anticipated capital investment. Key potential causes are: Failure to have effective corporate governance arrangements in place in one or more of the companies. Failure to ensure the right leadership with the right skills across the Companies. Business Failure due to severe economic downturn caused by external factors (incl. Pandemic & Brexit). Service delivery failure as a result of specific market changes (e.g., recyclate market, housing market, lack of HGV drivers), failure to secure planning etc. Delivery of BE2020 wind up within financial envelope. Legislation changes. Cyber Security - risk that key systems are compromised and that sensitive data is stolen Failure to develop and grow commercial trading activities		3	5	15	1	7	7
What we have done	What we are doing						
A Governance Review has been commissioned to consider the governance arrangements in respect of the companies and any potential amendments that may be required to the associated governance documents. The Terms of Reference for the Shareholding Group (SHG) have been updated. Audit & Risks Committee (ARC) established across the companies to review internal controls, governance and risks management and have along with the SHG overseen the establishment of a risk management framework. Annual business plans have been submitted for BHL, BWC and Goram Homes outlining their financial position, outlook and 21/22 investment requirements. 21/22 plans have been approved by Cabinet and delivery against plan reviewed by BHL and SHG. Shareholder support has been secured for key appointments and reserved matters published. Pandemic financial pressures are managed over the medium term for eligible response expenditure. Effective engagement is occurring with BHL re reserved matter decisions and wider engagement with BCC Client					g reviewed nanagemer osidiary org	and nt ranisations	



teams to review performance of the companies and s	set clear KPIs.				
Working capital facilitates (repayable loans) are in pla	ace as agreed within the relevant business plans and provision of BE2020. Cashflow are monitored in line with the agreement				
Specialist advisors are working alongside BE2020 and	BHL to finalising the windup of the company.				
The governance review in respect of the Council's corplan agreed.	mpanies has now been completed and an implementation				
The early engagement with the Council's Holding Corbusiness planning process.	npany and subsidiaries will continue as part of the 2022/23				
SHG will regularly review delivery of agreed actions fr	rom the governance review.				
ARC will report annually to BCC Audit Committee on in line with BCC Audit Committee workplan.	the effectiveness of internal controls, governance and risks –				
Board Effectiveness reviews to be part of BHL annual	workforce planning – ongoing.				
Continued monitoring of the impact of Covid / Brexit optimising emerging opportunities and mitigating pre	on the business and adaptive approach being proposed for essures – ongoing.				
Effective engagement with BHL re reserved matter de review performance, quality and set clear KPIs – ongo	ecisions and wider engagement with BCC Client teams to ping.				
Weekly progress review provided and regular review	of assumptions, cash flow and risks – ongoing.				
Risk Owners: Chief Executive and S151 Officer.	Action Owners: Director Finance, Director Legal and Democratic Services.	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Governance and Performance	Strategic Theme:	Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolerance Risk Level		
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR15 - In-Year Financial Deficit							
The council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in reserves (actual or projected) being less than the minimum specified by the council's reserves policy.							
Key potential causes are: • A failure to appropriately plan and deliver savings. • Unscheduled loss of material income streams. • Increase in demography, demand and costs for key council services. • The inability to generate the minimum anticipated level of capital receipts. • Insufficient reserves to facilitate short term mitigations, risks and liabilities. • Interest rate volatility impacting on the council's debt costs. • Impairments in our commercial Investments are realised.	-	3	5	15	1	5	5
What we have done	What we are doing						
BCC's Financial framework ensures that we have in place sound arrangements for financial planning, management, monitoring and reporting through to Corporate Leadership Team and Cabinet. The ongoing review and due diligence of all budget savings by Delivery Executive, Corporate Leadership Board and the Executive continues to be captured and monitored in the reports to Cabinet. The Policy and Budget Framework provides clear guidance in relation to the approval process for supplementary funding both capital and revenue. We have continual oversight and ongoing management of the council's financial risks and deep dives in areas reported of non-containable pressures. Regular reviews have been undertaken on the level and appropriateness of the earmarked reserves and where redirections have been south reported to Cabinet.	The latest budget mo 2021/22. The impact and there are corpora managed to ensure significant of the series of the	of Covid-19 h. ate mitigation pend remains to Covid will rise coming more end. t at local, region pending revieus ensure fundinancial plannires sufficient reservariations in second	as been off s for the re within app equire indi nths to redu onal and na w, Businessing for Brising and stra- erves avails spend fored	set in part by sidual pressur roved resourd vidual mitigat uce the likelih ational level in s Rates retent tol is maximistegic planning able to provid casting and economic sating	additional Gores, however ces. ions and recood of unplate or round table ion and new ed and impact. e the Councilonomic shood	overnment this must l overy plans nned draw and worki funding fo ot of chang l with some ks.	the funding be closely which are down mg groups rmulas for es are fed
Risk Owners: Director of Finance (S151 Officer). Action Owners: Director of Finance (S151 Officer), Chief Accountant.	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Gov and Performa		Strategic Theme:	Our Organis	sation	





		Cur	rent Risk Le	evel	Tolerance Risk Level			
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	
CRR29 - Information Security Management System (ISMS)								
There is a risk that if the council does not have an Information Security Management System then will not be able to effectively manage Information Security risks.	•		5	15				
Key potential causes are:	•	3			1	5	5	
• Ineffective Information Security Management System, inadequate resources to create and maintain an ISMS, management buy in and support to operate an ISMS.								
What we have done	What we are doing			,				
Information Assurance are continuing to work with ICT and IGB on implementing an Information Security Management System. Plans for implementation, supported by internal audit will be built it to the 21/22 service plan. A number of policies have already been rolled out, new policies continue to be developed.	Gap analysis and roll out have been included as a workstream within GDPR Phase 2 project. Online tool is being used to roll out policies, alongside further guidance and awareness campaigns, supported by the regular phishing campaigns.							
	Audit work by external partners has confirmed the direction of travel and mitigating actions required for this Risk. IG continue to work on implementing those actions, oversight being provided by the IG Board.							
Risk Owners: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO). Action Owners: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) and Statutory Data Protection Officer (SDPO).	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Gove and Performa		Strategic Theme:	Our Organis	sation		



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolerance Risk Level			
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	
CRR4 - Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing								
If the City Council does not meet its wide range of Health & Safety requirements then there could be a risk to the safety of employees, visitors, contractors, citizens and BCC corporate body.								
Key potential causes are:								
 If services do not have sufficient staff numbers to carry out work plans in a safe way. If services are not able to order appropriate equipment required for staff safety. Lack of appropriate equipment. Lack of oversight and control by local management. Lack of information on the potential or known risks. Inadequate contract management arrangements. Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being applied Policies are not kept up to date. 	1	3	5	15	3	5	15	
What we have done	What we are doing							
The Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing Team continue to provide general, targeted and specialist health, safety and wellbeing advice, guidance and provide assurance to the Council on compliance. This team is the owners of all policy and procedure and continue to undertake a programme of review and revision. The 5-year health, safety and wellbeing strategy sets the direction of travel and the yearly work plan. This has just been revised. The new Fire Safety Management system has been developed and piloted and is currently being published (October 2021) and rolled out across the Council. The CHaSMs monitoring system has been reviewed and is currently being updated to ensure it provides better assurance. This is in response to the Audit report and audit action plan. The new integrated OH, EAP and Physiotherapy contract is working well and provides a good service across the Council. Continue to ensure that buildings remain COVID secure. New Accident Incident Reporting System will go live October 2021 CDM, Legionella and Asbestos procedures have been revised and will go out for consultation in November 2021.								





				Reorganising the Corporate Health Safety and Wellbeing Team to be able to respond e the key priorities.					
Risk Owners:	Chief Executive and Corporate Leadership Board (CLB), Director of Workforce Change.	Action Owners:	Director of Workforce Change, Head of Health Safety and Wellbeing.	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Governance and Performance	Strategic Theme:	Our Organisation		



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolera	nce Risk L	evel		
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		
CRR18 - Failure to deliver enough homes to meet the City's needs.									
Failure of the City to deliver to the Mayoral Target of 2000 new homes per year by 2024. Strategies and delivery models designed to further stimulate growth in the housing market and deliver diversity of the housing offer across the city prove to be ineffective and do not attract and retain economically active residents									
Key potential causes are:	-	3	5	15	1	3	3		
 Not enough planning applications submitted Not enough planning permissions granted Insufficient housing land identified in strategic planning documents Inability of the housebuilding industry to deliver at this level Increased uncertainty in the market due to Brexit and Covid-19. 									
What we have done	What we are doing								
 Granted planning permissions. Secured additional grant funding for infrastructure. Released land. Issued grants to Registered Providers (RPs). Established a Local Housing Company (Goram Homes). Secured funding from Homes England under HIF and Accelerated Construction and Community Development in order to release further housing land. Manage a targeted grant funding programme to subsidise the delivery of affordable homes. Introduced the Affordable Housing Practice Note. Worked collaboratively with Homes England to maximise subsidy in schemes to provide as much affordable housing as possible. Required a minimum of 30% affordable housing on land released by the Council. Created a single multi-disciplinary Housing Delivery Team and additional capacity with Property, Planning, Highways and Legal. 	1. We are addressing Registered Providers 2. We are have compnew posts. 3. Significant land rel 4. External funding bi 5. Monitoring the im 6. Revised the Afford delivery of new affor 7. Working Closely w 8. Pipeline of site app 9. New working arrar Management focussi	(RPs) and Dire eleted a Service ease programated ids have secura pact of a coron able Housing of dable homes. ith Homes Eng roved for Gora ngements betw	et Delivery e Review of me to Regis ed infrastru navirus and Grant Fund land to ens em Homes veen Housi	, (New Council Housing Delivers Stered Partner Jucture funding Brexit on the ling Policy to e Sure additional to deliver aroung Delivery Te	il Homes). very Team and s (RPs). to accelerate Housing Mari nsure it is rele Il subsidy is se und 1700 new eam and Deve	d have red e delivery. ket evant and cured homes lopment	ruited to		
Risk Owners: Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Development of Place. Action Director Development of Place. Owners:	ce. Portfolio Flag: Housing Strategic Fair and Inclusive Theme:								



		Cur	rent Risk	Level	Tolerance Risk Level					
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating			
CRR27 - Capital Transport Programme Delivery										
Management of the overall transport capital programme is key to ensuring we deliver against mayoral priorities in the most cost and time efficient way possible. Failure to do so negatively impacts the council's reputation and finances and makes the council less likely to reduce congestion, air pollution and inequality.			_	45	2	2	6			
Key potential causes are:	•	3	5	15	2	3	6			
 Overspend on individual schemes leading to uncontainable cost pressures Underspend on annual profile Lack of coordination and programme management across divisions COVID - 19 										
Loss of resource and inability to recruit										
What we have done	What we are doing									
Transport Programme Team and Delivery Board established. Shared paperwork and highlight reporting process initiated. Regular briefings and reporting to senior management and cabinet members. 5-year capital programme mapping process underway. Regular reviews with directors taking place, workshop carried out to examine governance and further improvements to processes.	COVID-19 lockdown has restricted progress of all non-essential capital programme schemes. This is in part due to the non-essential nature of schemes but also down to the inability to carry out site surveys, engage and consult appropriately and to process TROs. We have restarted processing TROs following revised government guidance. We are also reviewing the whole programme in light of the challenges posed by COVID-19. Working with Transport Planning Team (TPT) and other managers to develop systems further engaging wit Directors of Economy of Place and Management of Place, to develop proposals for overall improved management of capital programme and recruitment of appropriate resource levels. We continue to develop Transport Planning Team (TPT), Transport Programme Delivery Board (TPDB) and highlight report processes which are governed by the Growth and Regeneration (G&R) Board (monthly meeting). 5 Year mapping ongoing. The Emergency Active Travel Fund (EATF) announced by the Department for Transport (DfT) has meant reprioritising resource to deliver cycle schemes and social distancing across the city. This has and will inevitably lead to some profiling and adjustment of the programme. This is ongoing, it is likely that funds composition be carried forward to next year and that some funds will be allocated to supporting EATF schemes. All schemes restarted and works progressing well. 6-month review has highlighted schemes that are behin programme and re-profiling taking place currently. We have recently commissioned a piece of work through the strategic partner Arcadis to assess capital									



Risk Ow			,	Portfolio Flag:	'		Our Organisation, Wellbeing.
	Regeneration, Director Economy of Place.	Owners:	Place.			Theme:	



		С	urrent Ris	k Level	Toler	ance Risk L	.evel
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR36 - SEND							
Delivery of the recovery plan with agreed priorities and actions and clear milestones forming the Written Statement of Action (WSOA) following the SEND local area OFSTED inspection in October 2019.							
Key potential causes are:	•	3	5	15	1	F	5
 Covid-19 delaying ability to complete actions and creating increased pressure across the locality partnership. Increasing demands for services outweighing current capacity to clear the backlog on statutory assessments. Judicial Review or similar legal actions causing attention to be diverted from BAU. Unprecedented national and local demand for Statutory assessment. Recruitment and retention including national shortage of Educational Psychologists. 	•		3	13		5	5
What we have done	What we are doi	ing	-				
Independently chaired SEND improvement Board meets bi-monthly to oversee improvement progress. Multi agency delivery group 'SEND Partnership Group' (SPG) includes social care, health, and schools meets monthly and reports to the improvement Board. Delivered the 1st phase of the SEND improvement journey through the Written Statement of Action to its formal conclusion in July 2021. 89% of July milestones were achieved or on track for the autumn. The 11% not achieved are all underway and have new timeframes agreed through the Local Area SEND governance arrangements. DFE monitoring of WsoA concluded and overall impressed with achievements and how well the council and its partners are working together to address all areas of weakness. Implemented quality assurance activity, including routine service user feedback and improved data capture and quality, enabling the development of robust data sets that have enhanced operational and strategic performance management and enabled better service planning to meet demand. Investment in key priority areas such as additional staff in statutory SEND and EP team. Re-structured and re-	 - the progress made and what still needs to be done to address the five significant areas of weakness identified in the SEND inspection - other areas for improvement identified through ongoing analysis of data and service user feedback. 						rice user mprove d NHSE
focused the work of the statutory SEND team. All EHCP systems and processes reviewed and remodelled with parent carers, including co-production of a new EHCP template and child centred model of assessment. Focused on early identification and intervention to reduce demand for statutory EHC Plans e.g. training and guidance for schools staff and leaders relating to their responsibilities for meeting the needs of children and young people with SEND.	Developing a service user engagement and co-production framework to align partnership activity, reach seldom heard voices and embed a sustainable BAU model of engagement and co-production at a strategic level. Preparing for the re-inspection which is likely to take place between Autumn – Spring 2021/22.						
Risk Owners: Director Adult and Social Care, Service Director Education and Skills Owners: Owners:	Portfolio Flag:	Education an	d Skills	Strategic Theme:	Our Organis and Caring, Well Conne	Fair and In	clusive,





				Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Toler	ance Risk L	evel
Threat Risk Title and	d Description		Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR26 - ICT Resilien	ce.								
The Councils ability t event of system and	to deliver critical and key services /or data loss.								
Key potential causes	are:		•						
 Poor Business Continuity (BCP) planning and understanding of key system architecture. Untested Disaster Recovery (DR) arrangements including data recovery. Untested network reconfiguration to alleviate key location outage. Untested recovery schedules in terms of order and instructions. Lack of resilience available for legacy systems (single points of failure - people and technology). Services undertaking their own IT arrangements outside of the corporate approach. 				2	5	10	2	5	10
What we have done			What we are doing						
Some DR/BCP action We have moved criti Application audit have	We are continuing to review Disaster Recovery (DR) options for any systems which will not be moved to the cloud. Highlighting to service areas where applications may be vulnerable and advising on likely timescales for disruption to enable appropriate BC planning.								
Tr	nief Executive, Director, Digital ransformation, Service Area rads.	Action Owners: Director, Digital Transformation.	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Gov and Performa	ce, Governance Strategic Our Organisation Performance Theme:				



			rrent Risk Le	vel	Tolerance	e Risk Level	
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performanc e	Likelihoo d	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR23 - Adult and Social Care (ASC) Transformation Programme 2020/21-2021/22							
Failure to deliver the required outcomes and savings from the new 2020/21 ASC Transformation Programme.							
Key potential causes are:							
Wider factors impacting on demand Rapid increased demand and complexity due to COVID-19. Increase of needs due to more health services being delivered in the community without appropriate funding following the patient. Increased complex needs across our demographics that must be met under the Care Act Wider factors impacting on supply. Financial pressures on an already vulnerable provider market during sustained changes forced on provider during COVID-19. Time to commission and embed alternative Tier 3 services as another option to traditional care homes, such as Extra Care Housing, supported Living, shared lives Time to commission and develop genuine step up/ step down alternatives to Tier 3 long term care (Home first, VCSE, reablement for all). Ability to joint fund this supply using the BCF with NHS (National Health Service) partners working in an Integrated Care System model. Ability to prioritise the programme under one city plans and to have the corporate support and investment needed alongside ASC staff to deliver on the proposed solutions	1	3	5	15	1	5	5
What we have done	What we are	doing				<u> </u>	
Established Transformation programme board chaired by Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care with the Chief Executive and Executive Director and DASS meet monthly to keep the focus and impetus on the aims and objectives of the programme. A set of ASC POWERBI accelerators have been developed delivering a detailed understanding of activity and cost across the services delivered to support DMT in building the right solutions and having the evidence of the impact their decisions are having on service numbers and cost. Improving Pricing Control - Procured Care Cubed and written to providers to notify them that we will be negotiating rates based on the national care funding calculator. New processes are just being established for how care cubed will be used operationally.	programme v proposal and confidence The revised p • In-house se • Developing • Knowledge	was taken ou I new approa programme i ervice review g the Strengt e Function ies will be se ning & Mark	ut of exception ach to the ASC s made up of (Rehab Cent hs based modervice-led BAU et Managem	n and Delive C transforma three key w cres) del of care	ices as the Transform ery Executive approve ation work, which aim vorkstreams: ms which include	ed a revised	savings



Improving Business Intelligence - ASC are leading the corporate objective to move our performance management onto PowerBI. Working with the Intelligent-I team the transformation team are creating a number of sophisticated ASC dashboards which will open ASC data to staff. Giving staff the tools, they need for proactive performance management to become everybody's business. Work is planned to be ongoing with intelligent-I until August.

Improving ASC process issues - To drive the right behaviour, we are working on a new Standard Operating Process (SOP) which can start to increase strengths based practice, greater use of community assets and avoid use of Tier 3 services.

Making change everybody's business - New 'change Agent' roles have been established across the business to champion change.

Realignment of operations - Care management have now completed a consultation with staff about the realignment of teams into the wider system 'Integrated Care Partnerships' (ICP) model for community health and care delivery. This will go live in November. This will help ASC align closer to community health partners such as Sirona and Primary care Networks but also start to develop a more robust locality model offering a greater range of needs) Tier 1 and 2 services working closely with the VCS.

Inhouse services reviewed - ASC commissioned Mutual Ventures to review our £15m of in-house service provision. Each service is unique and brings different benefits. This created 'road maps' for each service to be taken through the key decision pathway to get authority to proceed with the modernisation of these services. The first of which is (including ensuring that practitioners have explored all alternative care options before a recommendation for the re-provision of Rehab centres going to cabinet in December.

Dashboards created - The team have worked with commissioning and care management to pull out the top priorities for transformation work over the next 12 months. These will be shared with staff and be the golden thread for ASC that link the more detailed business cases to a clear set of actions.

We took the programme into Exception in August due to non-delivery of agreed savings caused by additional COVID pressures, increased demand, business continuity and care supply and workforce challenges. In October Delivery Exec agreed a re-modelled savings plan and re-prioritised set of programme workstreams/ priorities and associated capacity/ support required to deliver.

Knowledge Function

In addition, we are developing future service priorities:

- Care Providers Strategic Partnerships
- Housing access to General Needs Housing (delivered through Better Lives at Home programme and in partnership with G&R Directorate)
- Fair price of care
- In-house Services phase 2

In the interim a number of immediate actions have been taken to address the budget pressures on ASC:

- All new cases to be referred to Reablement before a longer-term package of care is agreed, increasing the amount of cost avoidance as a result of delaying or avoiding the need for more long-term care
- Brokerage to take up to 5 days to secure best value care packages (for non-urgent
- Authorisation of high-cost packages:
- Additional scrutiny from Deputy Directors for Commissioning and Operations for all placements over £1000
- SM to sign off and quality control of cases to be booked into Case Discussion Forum referral to CDF)
- Prioritise reviews of all relevant packages that have been set up during COVID, with additional COVID related spend
- Increase referrals to the TEC team (based on specific targeted cohorts e.g. night time care in Supported Living)
- Single point of coordination for all CHC joint funded and single funded packages
- Ensuring that brokerage and commissioning staff work closely with Care Management when agreeing care packages, which will be further embedded when locality model is introduced (from September)

Risk Owners: **Director Adult Social Care** Action Owners: Director Adult Social Care Portfolio Adult Social Care Strategi Our Organisation, Empowering others and Flag: Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Theme: Wellbeing.



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolera	nce Risk L	evel
Threat Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR34 - Corporate Equalities							
The Council does not meet its ambitions or legally required standards for good practice on equality and inclusion. The Council fails to meet its statutory duties under the Equality Act 2010.							
Key potential causes are:							
 Lack of consistent council-wide knowledge on the Public Sector Equality Duty and how to take equalities into consideration. Gaps in available data and analysis to understand potential impacts of decision making. Compliance driven rather than understanding based on good analysis. High turnover of staff resulting in loss of knowledge/institutional memory. Institutional racism and structural inequality in the council, city, and society as a whole. Under-representation of key demographics in the workforce, particularly within senior roles. 	-	1	5	5	1	5	5
What we have done	What we are d	oing					
The work of mainstreaming and embedding equality & inclusion is well underway. Good teamwork across Bristol City Council. The two teams with an equality & inclusion focus within Policy, Strategy and Partnerships and Human Resources have now been aligned and are working closely together. However, there is still more to do corporately to tackle institutional racism and improve equality and inclusion practice, an issue brought into even sharper focus by Covid-19, the global Black Lives Matter movement and the findings of DWC Consulting from their work supporting the council with various HR cases and Staff Led Group relations. The disproportionate impact of Covid-19 on Black, Asian and minority ethnic groups has been recognised and is managed by a focused race equality group within the council's governance structure for managing the impacts of the pandemic. We are:	 City Continuing to implement the recommendations from the LGA Equality Fr for Local Government, including mapping out an E&I programme in line wird Strategy. Completing the Positive Action Toolkit. Holding Race Equality Gatherings. Holding meetings of the Strategic E&I Governance Group. Recruitment of the Commissioners for the Disability Equality Commissioners for the Disability Equality Commissioners. 				line with	Corporate	
 Implementing various new initiatives in Equality and Inclusion proposals approved by CLB in June 2020, including strengthening leadership, policy reviews, updates in HR practice and more. Progress is being tracked and has been audited in Q4 20/21. Having on-going city conversations on race equality. Reviewed the E&I learning and development offer for employees at BCC to ensure that their E&I awareness is improving throughout their employee journey A steering group are developing a new approach to positive action for under-represented groups. We received the report and recommendations from the LGA Equality Framework for Local Government. 							



6.	completion report in the Advancing Ed	quality and Inclusion	•			
7.	Recruited the first Chair and the support Chair for the Commission on Race Equ	· ·	the Disability Equality Commission and a new			
8.	Rolled out updated training on Rights	and Responsibilities				
9.	Launched a reverse mentoring progra	mme.				
10.	Developed an Equality & Inclusion cale	endar for the whole	organisation.			
11.	Created a network of Champions and	Director Sponsors.				
12.	Recruited a temporary consultant to s	support with strategi	c migration issues.			
		•			1	
Risk Owners:	Director Policy, Strategy & Partnerships.	Action Owners:	Director Policy, Strategy & Partnership, Head of Equality and Inclusion.	Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Strategic Theme:	Our Organisation



Corporate external and civil contingency risks

		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolera	nce Risk L	evel
External/Civil Contingency Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
BCCC3 - COVID-19							
A failure to respond and recover effectively to the Covid crisis will jeopardise the delivery of statutory duties across the Council, put the lives and welfare of staff and service users at risk, create additional social anxiety, cause unnecessary expense, undermine Council finances and severely damage the Council's reputation.							
Key potential causes are:							
 Staff sickness, absence, and bereavement. Surges in demand in key service areas, particularly social care, safeguarding, housing, community engagement, hardship, public health, and civil protection. A lack of personal protective equipment for staff and providers. Increased social anxiety and community tension. Failure of key providers and contractors. A lack of management control and oversight associated with home working. Failure to identify and seize opportunities. Changes in national guidelines. 	-	4	7	28	2	7	14
What we have done	What we are doing						
 The Council has moved at pace to change the way that it works across every Directorate and Service area: The response to Covid is managed through the Outbreak Management Group, Chaired by the Director of Public Health The Local Engagement Board and Health Protection Committee were both established and have met regularly Work to support the most vulnerable is ongoing Work to enforce Covid regulations is ongoing PPE supply chains have been stabilised and made more resilient Additional body storage capacity has been realised The organisation has established remote working practice wherever possible Buildings have Covid secure risk assessments in place 	We continue to work continues. Continued communic We continue to unde wider risk landscape concurrent emergence	ration to partn rstand the ong of Brexit, wint	ers, busine going Covid	sses and citize	ens continues d recovery in t	he contex	



	and Business and Organisational C Recovery Objectives are being mo We have worked in partnership thre Economic Recovery and Renewal We are participating in a regional S Forum and in economic recovery in Learning from the multiple waves in Run 'surge testing' programme for Conducted a region-wide Equality of current practice where required Operated a 'Gold' Group chaired by The local outbreak response has by Capital for a Community Resilience	Change initored and managough the One City Plan Strategic Recovery initiatives hosted by informs our ongoing Variant of Concerr Impact Assessmen y Chief Executive of the en enhanced the Fund has been end of development capa	Group run by the Local Resilience the Combined Authority. g response and applied learning from this at to inform future planning and adapt during Major Incident phase(s) stablished acity in the short term and introduced a				
Risk Owners:	CLB	Action Owners:	Director Resilience, Public Health Service Director	Portfolio Flag:	Corporate Wide	Strategic Theme:	Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.



		Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolera	nce Risk L	evel
External/Civil Contingency Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
BCCC1 - Flooding							
There could be a risk of damage to properties and infrastructure as well as risk to public safety from flooding which may be caused by a tidal surge, heavy rainfall and river flood events.							
Key potential causes are:	_		_				
 Tidal surge, heavy rainfall, and river flood events Impact of climate change Lack of effective flood defences and preparedness for major incidents Failure of existing flood defences 		3	5	15	3	3	9
What we have done	What we are doing						
studies (which identify the risk of flooding to the city) to structure our response to flood risk management, from emergency management to flood mitigation schemes, summarised below. The Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) is a partnership of all the organisations needed to prepare for an emergency in the LRF area. It includes the emergency services, health services, Maritime and Coastal Agency, Environment Agency, volunteer agencies, utility companies, transport providers and the five councils of Bath and North East Somerset, Bristol, North Somerset, Somerset, and South Gloucestershire. Working with emergency services, local authorities, and other agencies to develop flood response plans and procedures, investigating instances of flooding, training specialist staff in swift water rescue techniques, communicating with housing and business developers to incorporate flood protection into new developments. It provides guidance to members of the public about flooding, including flood warnings and what people can do to help themselves. We undertake regular and emergency maintenance and clearing programs of gullies and culverts,	Working with South G	r life of strate, ip with the En o protect the for climate chip with South protect Avonifor climate ch schemes and od risk infrast it is sustainable.	yironment city centre ange. Gloucester mouth Villa ange. green infraructure. e, seeks to	y includes the Agency to cor and support shire and the age and the Enstructure to reduce flood	following key nplete and de sustainable de Environment terprise Area educe local flo	eliver the Evelopmer Agency to from tida pod risks.	and Bristol It, deliver a I flooding,



Risk Owners:	Executive Director Growth and	Action Owners:	Director Economy of Place, Flood Risk	Portfolio Flag:	Energy, Waste and	Strategic	Our Organisation, Empowering
	Regeneration, Director Economy		Engineer.		Regulatory Services	Theme:	and Caring, Fair and Inclusive,
	of Place.						Well Connected, Wellbeing.



Corporate Opportunity Risks

			Cur	rent Risk L	evel	Tolera	ance Risk L	evel .
Opportunity Risk Title and Description	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	
OPP2 - Corporate Strategy								
The approved Corporate Strategy presents an opport planning, leadership and performance frameworks.	cunity to fundamentally refresh and strengthen our business	_	2	7	14	4		28
Key potential causes are:		_	2	,	14	4	7	28
Approved Corporate Strategy provides the foundation	ion and direction for the organisation.							
What we have done		What we are doing						
Frameworks through appropriate Decision Pathways. Commenced work on reviewing the corporate strateg Reviewed organisational design principles and ways of the Corporate Strategy.	gy for approval of refreshed strategy during the year. of working as part of thinking ahead to a 2021/22 update to briefed Heads of Service; also beginning staff engagement	The current Corporat is limited, there is a n commensurate impround in light of performance been downgraded to pivoting our organisa Overall, our level of pfactors – including the environment in which and look ahead to our preparedness and the process, including ear	nuch greater for presents in pure ce outturn represents the restional focus to preparedness for e pandemic, En we work. This reds over the likelihood of	ocus on proublic satisfa orting of 2 oults – whice wards man or this opp U Exit and s is a key d he next five this oppor	oject prioritisa action year-on 020/21, the lith th were clearly naging the part ortunity is recentional police river to update e years, which tunity manife	etion against t-year since it kelihood of the y impacted be ndemic respondence duced due to y – having characteristing characteristing.	the Strateg is inception his opportu y Covid-19 onse and re many exte anged the Corporate engthen ou	gy and n. unity has and covery. ernal Strategy ur level of
Risk Owners: Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships.	Action Owners: Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships.	Portfolio Flag:	Finance, Gov	ernance	Strategic Theme:	Our Organis	sation	



			Cur	rent Risk Le	evel	Tolerance Risk Level				
Opportunity Risk Title and Description		Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating		
OPP1 - One City Approach										
The One City Approach will offer a new way to plan s	trategically with partners as part of a wider city system.									
Key potential causes are:		-	3	7	21	4	7	28		
Mayoral aspiration and widespread partner sign-up Work to date has produced outline plan and engage complete the plan	o to principles ed partners in the long-term vision and necessary work to									
What we have done		What we are doing								
helping to bring together leaders from key city instituthrough the work of the City Office to improve staked. We have worked closely with all Boards to update the 2021, and also continue to collaborate on a city-wide term funding and governance options and are taking this. We have produced v3 of the One City Plan and produced website from 12 June 2021. A new culture board and Conversations have been had with all anchor institutions.	arch has been used to coordinate a 'One City' response, ations around shared priorities, using relationships developed holder engagement and communications. The One City Plan timelines ahead of a v3 Plan launch in June approach to Covid-19 Recovery. We have reviewed longer forward conversations with partners in January 2021 about acced our second annual report available on the One City Children and Young People's Board have been established ons over funding. More formalised working arrangements in tinues to support the Covid 19 response and Recovery.	We are working on sustainable long-term funding models and a more ambiguing relationships developed cations. We continue to: Set up a Partnership Board to oversee the work of the City Office and dwith wider range of partners to further formalised working arrangements. Negotiate with partners on funding arrangements. Negotiate with partners on funding arrangements. Create a One City Digital Board. Produce a City Office team mandate to outline the functions of the team of the continue to: Develop more detailed metrics for impacting tracking of activity.					d developir s.	ng MOUs		
Risk Owners: Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships.	Action Owners: Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships.	Portfolio Flag:	Mayor		Strategic Theme:	Our Organis	sation			



Risk Scoring Matrix

			Threat Im (Negative I							unity impact ltive Risk)		
	Almost certain	4	4 (Low)	12 (Medium)	20 (High)	28 (Critical)	28 (Significant)	20 (High)	12 (Medium)	4 (Low)	4	Almost certain
pooqle	Likely	3	3 (Low)	9 (Medium)	15 (High)	21 (High)	21 (High)	15 (High)	9 (Medium)	3 (Low)	3	Likely Opportunity
Threat Likelhood	Unlikely	2	2 (Low)	6 (Medlum)	10 (Medlum)	14 (High)	14 (High)	10 (Medlum)	6 (Medlum)	Z (Low)	2	Unlikely d
	Rare	1	1 (Low)	3 (Low)	5 (Medlum)	7 (Medlum)	7 (Medlum)	5 (Medium)	3 (Low)	1 (Low)	1	Rare
			1 Minor	3 Moderate	5 Major	7 Critical	7 Exceptional	5 Significant	3 Modest	1 Slight		

Threat Level	Opportunity Level	Level of Risk	Actions Required
1-4	1-4	Low	May not need any further action / monitor at the Service level.
5-12	5-12	Medium	Action required, manage and monitor at the Directorate level.
14-21	14-21	High	Must be addressed - if Directorate level consider escalating to the Corporate Risk Report, if Corporate consider escalating to the Cabinet Lead.
28	28	Critical / Significant	Action required - escalate if a Directorate level risk, escalate to the Corporate Level, if Corporate bring to the attention of the Cabinet Lead to confirm action to be taken.



LIKELIHOOD AND IMPACT RISK RATING SCORING

Likelihood Guidance

Likelihood		Likelihood Ratings 1 to 4									
	1	2	3	4							
Description	Might happen on rare occasions.	Will possibly happen, possibly on several occasions.	Will probably happen, possibly at regular intervals.	Likely to happen, possibly frequently.							
Numerical Likelihood	Less than 10%	Less than 50%	50% or more	75% or more							

Severity of Impact Guidance (Risk to be assessed against all of the Categories, and the highest score used in the matrix).

Impact Category	Impact Levels 1 to 7			
	1	3	5	7
Service provision	Very limited effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Impact can be managed within normal working arrangements.	Noticeable and significant effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Effect may require some additional resource, but manageable in a reasonable time frame.	Severe effect on service provision or a Corporate Strategic Plan priority area. Effect may require considerable /additional resource but will not require a major strategy change.	Extremely severe service disruption. Significant customer opposition. Legal action. Effect could not be managed within a reasonable time frame or by a short-term allocation of resources and may require major strategy changes. The Council risks 'special measures'. Officer / Member forced to resign.
Communities	Minimal impact on community.	Noticeable (positive or negative) impact on the community or a more manageable impact on a smaller number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than six months.	A more severe but manageable impact (positive or negative) on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than twelve months.	A lasting and noticeable impact on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals.
Environmental	No effect (positive or negative) on the natural and built environment.	Short term effect (positive or negative) on the natural and or built environment.	Serious local discharge of pollutant or source of community annoyance that requires remedial action.	Lasting effect on the natural and or built environment.
Financial Loss / Gain	Under £0.5m	Between £0.5m - £3m	Between £3m - £5m	More than £5m
Fraud & Corruption Loss	Under £50k	Between £50k - £100k	Between £100k - £1m	More than £1m
Legal	No significant legal implications or action is anticipated.	Tribunal / BCC legal team involvement required (potential for claim).	Criminal prosecution anticipated and / or civil litigation.	Criminal prosecution anticipated and or civil litigation (> 1 person).
Personal Safety	Minor injury to citizens or colleagues.	Significant injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues causing short-term disability / absence from work.	Major injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues may result in. long term disability / absence from work.	Death of citizen(s) or colleague(s). Significant long-term disability / absence from work.
Programme / Project Management (Including developing commercial enterprises)	Minor delays and/or budget overspend but can be brought back on schedule with this project stage. No threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget and no threat to identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones, and/or budget overspends. No threat to overall delivery of the project and the identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones; and/or major budget overspends. Major threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget, and achievement of one or more benefits / outcomes.	Significant issues threaten delivery of the entire project. Could lead to project being cancelled or put on hold.
Reputation	Minimal and transient loss of public or partner trust. Contained within the individual service.	Significant public or partner interest although limited potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation. Dissatisfaction reported through council complaints procedure but contained within the council. Local MP involvement. Some local media/social media interest.	Serious potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Dissatisfaction regularly reported through council complaints procedure. Higher levels of local or national interest. Higher levels of local media / social media interest.	Highly significant potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Intense local, national and potentially international media attention. Viral social media or online pick-up. Public enquiry or poor external assessor report.