SUMMARY OF WHISTLEBLOWING REFERRALS AND OUTCOMES 2021/22		
Directorate	Nature of concern	Outcome
Growth &	An allegation of use of sub-standard	The referral was passed to the relevant manager to
Regeneration	materials by a sub-contractor was received.	ensure effective management of the contractor's
	The Whistleblower was contacted for more	work. The Service committed to an increase in post
	detail but denied making the referral and	inspection site visits to monitor this contractor's
	claimed it was a relative. They did not want	work and to inform Internal Audit if any fraudulent
	to engage and the information provided	activity was suspected.
	was sparse and was from an ex BCC	
	employee.	
People	Non-compliance with Covid safety	A Health and safety inspection was completed by
	measures at a City Council office	the Corporate Health & Safety Team and
		arrangements were found to be satisfactory and
		Covid compliant.
Growth &	An agency Public Health Officer raised	Following an investigation, no significant issues were
Regeneration	concerns about staff safety on visits and	identified but some recommendations and minor
C	access to intelligence prior to visits.	updates to policy and procedure were agreed.
Growth &	Allegations about the Responsive Repairs	No fraud was established.
Regeneration	Service in relation to:	A report was issued with recommendations in
	- A flawed tender process and an undeclared conflict of interest.	respect of the conflict of interest.
		A planned audit review was carried out of the area
	- Poor working and financial practices in	and findings have been presented to Audit Committee.
	relation to invoice payments - Inappropriate payments of shift work in	One issue was referred to Homes and Landlord
	the Responsive Repairs section.	Services management to resolve.
Growth &	An allegation was received that a	An investigation concluded that the contract had
Regeneration	contractor was overcharging the City	been awarded through the correct process and
Regeneration	Council for their work and that Bristol City	there was no evidence of collusion by City Council
	Council officers were colluding in the	officers.
	process.	omise.si
People	Concerns were raised in relation to the	Referred to management.
	quality of social care being given to service	Management have agreed to provide some
	users.	additional training, review the process by which
		support assistants are informed of the medication
		required by service users and to review
		documentation used.
Growth &	An allegation was received that an officer	An investigation concluded that the allegation could
Regeneration	working in the Cemetery and Crematorium	not be substantiated but it identified significant
	Service was involved in the theft and	weaknesses in stock control. Recommendations
	misuse of council property.	have been agreed and implemented by
		management.
Growth &	An allegation was received that a City	An investigation confirmed the allegation. Due to
Regeneration	Council officer who had been absent from	prompt action an overpayment was prevented.
	work on long term sickness started work	Criminal proceedings were not considered
	for another employer before resigning	appropriate due to the short-term nature of the
	from the City Council.	offence and there was no financial gain
Growth &	Two referrals were assessed as personal	Referred to Human Resources.
Regeneration	grievances.	