

# Public Forum

## PROWG Committee

2pm 27<sup>th</sup> September 2022



- 1. Members of the Public Rights of Way and Greens Committee**  
Councillors: Tessa Fitzjohn (Chair), John Goulandris (Vice-Chair), Andrew Varney, Jude English, Lily Fitzgibbon, Paul Goggin, Jonathan Hucker, Philippa Hulme, Tim Rippington
- 2. Officers:** Duncan Venison, Eddie Procter, Theo Brumhard, Nancy Rollason, Tom Dunsdon, Lauren Kemple



## **Relating to Agenda item 7 – TVG Applications**

### **Question 1 TVG Applications**

From Helen Powell WLSL

I would like to submit this question for the PROWG committee meeting next week please:

The draft minutes of the PROWG meeting on 20 June 2022 include the following under the heading of Town or Village Green applications:

'The Committee agreed that, following an informal briefing of the Committee by officers, it would be helpful to visit the Stoke Lodge site, if possible, with other interested parties from the school and transport (growth and regeneration) Action: Jeremy Livitt to seek legal advice from Anne Nugent on the operation of the site visit.'

We Love Stoke Lodge and the TVG applicants would of course be concerned if the Committee were to undertake a visit accompanied by representatives of Cotham School and the Council (i.e. the Objectors to the applications) but without representatives from WLSL, including the TVG applicants and, if the public right of way applications are under discussion, the PROW applicant.

The Committee may of course decide to visit the site unaccompanied, but please could you confirm the Committee's views on this matter and that if the Committee undertakes a site visit accompanied by the Objectors, representatives of the relevant Applicants will also be invited?

---

### **Question 2**

From: Alan Preece

Though the full comments are not reflected in the minutes, I recall that when Item 11 was discussed and Ann Nugent left the meeting, it was also agreed that the site visit to Stoke Lodge in consideration of the TVG, would also look at the claimed Public Rights of Way.

Q1. Is this correct?

Q2. As the applicant for the PROWs across Stoke Lodge, may I have some assurance that I would be invited as one of "other interested parties" in addition to the school, so that I could point out to the Committee the problems for the public introduced by the fencing?

---

### **Answer to Questions 1 and 2**

1. There are no plans for the PROWG Committee to carry out a formal site visit before the Inspector reports to the Committee.

2. As/when a site visit is undertaken, arrangements will be set out in advance. All parties would be able to attend but no representations would be permitted as this would not be a formal meeting of the committee.