

## **Growth and Regeneration Scrutiny Commission.**

### **Public Forum Up-date**

#### **Bristol Tree Forum - Supplementary PFQ2:**

Mr Ashdown said that the provided written answer had been rather vague. For example, it was unclear what the phrase "secured additional planting" means. It is not stated whether any trees were actually planted, nor how numbers of replacement trees were calculated and there is no means of verifying whether any of these trees were ever planted or still exist. Are any of these 28 cases ones which we reported or formal planning enforcement cases, the details of which we can examine?

**Reply:** Officers said they would need to follow-up on this and would provide a written reply in due course.

#### **Post-meeting officer written reply:**

Between 15.6.12 and 26.4.22 the planning department closed 116 cases concerning allegations of works to trees in conservation areas and/or the subject of a TPO. Of these, 28 were closed as no breach of planning control was identified [of these our records show that BTF were recorded as an interested party in 1 case] and 88 were closed with a breach of planning control being identified [of those BTF were recorded as an interested party in 5 cases] these 116 cases are presented in the two attached tables. Of the 88 cases where a breach of the tree legislation was found 9 cases involved some replanting.

***Please also see the appended documents below.***